arXiv:math/0505498v3 [math.AG] 15 Feb 2007

SHEAVES ON SUBANALYTIC SITES

Luca Prelli

Contents

1 Sheaves on subanalytic sites 3
- 1.1 The subanalytic site. Notations and review 3
- 1.2 Operations on the subanalytic site. 11
- 1.3 $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves on subanalytic sites 12
- 1.4 Proper direct image on $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ 13
- 1.5 Quasi-injective objects 17
- 1.6 The functor $\rho_!$ 24

2 Derived category 27
- 2.1 The category $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}})$ 28
- 2.2 Operations in the derived category 29
- 2.3 Vanishing theorems on $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ 33
- 2.4 Duality 35

3 Examples of applications 39
- 3.1 Modules over a $k_{X_{sa}}$-algebra 39
- 3.2 Sheaves of $\rho_!\mathcal{R}$-modules 41
- 3.3 Some examples of subanalytic sheaves 42

A Appendix 46
- A.1 Review on subanalytic sets 46
- A.2 Sheaves on Grothendieck topologies 48

Bibliography 50

Abstract

In [7] the authors introduced the notion of ind-sheaf, and defined the six Grothendieck operations in this framework. They defined subanalytic sheaves and they obtained the formalism of the six Grothendieck operations by including subanalytic sheaves into the category of ind-sheaves. The aim of this paper is to give a direct construction of the six

Grothendieck operations in the framework of subanalytic sites avoiding the heavy theory of ind-sheaves. As an application we show how to recover the subanalytic sheaves $\mathcal{O}^t$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}$ of temperate and Whitney holomorphic functions respectively.

Introduction

Let X be a real analytic manifold and k a field. Kashiwara and Schapira in [7] defined and studied the category $\mathrm{I}(k_X)$ of ind-sheaves on X. They defined the six Grothendieck operations in this framework. As a byproduct they also studied the category $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X) = \mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X))$, where $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ is the category of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves on X with compact support, and showed the equivalence with the category $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ of sheaves on the subanalytic site associated to X. Then they obtained the formalism of the six Grothendieck operations by including subanalytic sheaves into the category of ind-sheaves.

Our aim in this paper is to give a direct, self-contained and elementary construction of the six Grothendieck operations on $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, without using the more sophisticated and much more difficult theory of ind-sheaves. Indeed, contrarily to the category $\mathrm{I}(k_X)$, the category $\mathrm{I}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ is a Grothendieck category.

In more details, the contents of this paper are as follows.

In Section 1 we construct the operations in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. We start recalling the definitions of the functors ρ_*, ρ^{-1} and $\rho_!$ of [7] and their properties. We recall the internal operations and the functors of direct and inverse image (which are well defined on any site) and we study their relations with ρ_*, ρ^{-1} and $\rho_!$. We also define the functor $f_{!!}$ of proper direct image, where the notation $f_{!!}$ follows from the fact that $f_{!!} \circ \rho_* \not\simeq \rho_* \circ f_!$ in general. We study its properties and the relations with the others operations. While the functors f^{-1} and $\otimes$ are exact, the functors $\mathcal{H}om$, f_* and $f_{!!}$ are left exact, and we introduce the subcategory of quasi-injective objects which is injective with respect to these functors.

In Section 2 we consider the derived category of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. We start by considering the subcategory $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}})$ consisting of bounded complexes with $\mathbb{R}$-constructible cohomology, and we prove the equivalence of derived categories $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X) \simeq D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then we study the derived functors of $\mathcal{H}om$, f_* and $f_{!!}$ and we obtain the usual formulas (projection formula, base change formula, Künneth formula, etc.) in the framework of subanalytic sites. Using the Brown representability theorem we prove the existence of a right adjoint to the functor $Rf_{!!}$, denoted by $f^!$. We calculate the functor $f^!$ by decomposing f as the composite of a closed embedding and a submersion.

In Section 3 we give some examples of subanalytic sheaves. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a sheaf of rings on X_{sa}. We start recalling the definition of sheaves of $\mathcal{R}$-modules. When the ring is $\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X$, where $\mathcal{D}_X$ denotes the sheaf of finite order differential operators on a complex analytic manifold X, we show how to recover the sheaves of $\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $\mathcal{O}_X^t$ and $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}}$ of temperate and Whitney holomorphic functions of [7] respectively.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Prof. Pierre Schapira who encouraged us to develop a theory of subanalytic sheaves independent of that of ind-sheaves, and for his many useful remarks.

1 Sheaves on subanalytic sites

In the following X will be a real analytic manifold and k a field. References are made to [8] and [14] for an introduction to sheaves on Grothendieck topologies, to [5] for a complete exposition on classical sheaves and $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves and to [1] and [10] for the theory of subanalytic sets. The results of § 1.1 have already been proved in [7], for sake of completeness we repropose here the proofs.

1.1 The subanalytic site. Notations and review

We introduce the subanalytic site and we recall some results of [7] for subanalytic sheaves. We use the notations of [7].

Denote by $\mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ the category of subanalytic subsets of X. One endows $\mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ with the following topology: $S \subset \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ is a covering of $U \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ if for any compact subset K of X there exists a finite subset S_0 of S such that $K \cap \bigcup_{V \in S_0} V = K \cap U$. We will call X_{sa} the subanalytic site, and for $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ we denote by $U_{X_{sa}}$ the category $\mathrm{Op}(X_{sa}) \cap U$ with the topology induced by X_{sa}.

Remark 1.1.1 *We use the notation $U_{X_{sa}}$ to stress the difference from U_{sa}, the subanalytic site associated to U. For example, let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $U = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Let $V_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2,\ \ |x| > \frac{1}{n}\}$. Then $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathrm{Cov}(U_{sa})$ but $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \notin \mathrm{Cov}(U_{X_{sa}})$.*

Let $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ denote the category of sheaves on X_{sa}. Then $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ is a Grothendieck category, i.e. it admits a generator and small inductive limits, and small filtrant inductive limits are exact. In particular as a Grothendieck category, $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ has enough injective objects.

Remark 1.1.2 *Denote by $\mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$ the category of relatively compact subanalytic open subsets of X. One denotes by X^c_{sa} the category $\mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$ with the topology induced by X_{sa}. The forgetful functor gives an equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X^c_{sa}})$.*

Proposition 1.1.3 *Let $\{F_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ and let $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. Then*

$$\varinjlim_i \Gamma(U;F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U;\varinjlim_i F_i).$$

Proof. By Remark 1.1.2 it is enough to prove the assertion in the category $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X^c_{sa}})$. Denote by "$\varinjlim_i$"$F_i$ the presheaf $V \mapsto \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V;F_i)$ on X^c_{sa}. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ and let S be a finite covering of U. Since $\varinjlim_i$ commutes with finite projective limits we obtain the isomorphism ("$\varinjlim_i$"$F_i)(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_i F_i(S)$ and $F_i(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} F_i(S)$ since $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X^c_{sa}})$ for each i. Moreover the family of finite coverings of U is cofinal in $\mathrm{Cov}(U)$. Hence "$\varinjlim_i$"$F_i \xrightarrow{\sim}$ ("$\varinjlim_i$"$F_i)^+$. Applying once again the functor $(\cdot)^+$ we get

$$\text{“}\varinjlim_i\text{”} F_i \simeq (\text{“}\varinjlim_i\text{”} F_i)^+ \simeq (\text{“}\varinjlim_i\text{”} F_i)^{++} \simeq \varinjlim_i F_i.$$

Hence applying the functor $\Gamma(U;\cdot)$ we obtain the isomorphism $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(U;F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U;\varinjlim_i F_i)$ for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. ☐

There is an easy way to construct sheaves on a subanalytic site

Proposition 1.1.4 *Let F be a presheaf on X^c_{sa} and assume that*

(i) $F(\varnothing) = 0$,

(ii) For any $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ *the sequence* $0 \to F(U \cap V) \to F(U) \oplus F(V) \to F(U \cap V)$*is exact.*

Then $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X^c_{sa}}) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ and let $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be a finite covering of U. We have to show that the sequence

$$0 \to F(U) \to \oplus_{1 \leq k \leq n} F(U_k) \to \oplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} F(U_{ij}),$$

where the second morphism sends $(s_k)_{1 \leq k \leq n}$ to $(t_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ by $t_{ij} = s_i|_{U_{ij}} - s_j|_{U_{ij}}$. We shall argue by induction on n. For $n = 1$ the result is trivial, and $n = 2$ is the hypothesis. Suppose that the assertion is true for $j \leq n-1$ and set $U' = \bigcup_{1 \leq k < n} U_k$. By the induction hypothesis the following commutative diagram is exact

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & F(U) \arrow[r] & F(U') \cup F(U_n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & F(U' \cap U_n) \arrow[d] \\
 & & \bigoplus_{i<n} F(U_i) \oplus F(U_n) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \bigoplus_{i<n} F(U_{in}) \\
 & & \bigoplus_{i<j<n} F(U_{ij}). &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then the result follows. □

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ be the abelian category of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves on X, and consider its subcategory $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ consisting of sheaves whose support is compact.

We denote by $\rho : X \to X_{sa}$ the natural morphism of sites. We have functors

$$\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X) \subset \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X) \subset \mathrm{Mod}(k_X) \underset{\rho^{-1}}{\overset{\rho_*}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}). \tag{1.1}$$

We will still denote by ρ_* the restriction of ρ_* to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

Remark 1.1.5 *By Proposition 1.1.3 for each* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ *and* $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ *one has*

$$\Gamma(V;\rho_*F) \simeq \varinjlim_U \Gamma(V;\rho_*F_U) \simeq \Gamma(V;\varinjlim_U \rho_*F_U),$$

where U *ranges through the family of relatively compact open subanalytic subsets of* X*. This implies that* $\varinjlim_U \rho_*F_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_*F$*.*

Remark 1.1.6 *The functor* ρ_* *does not commute with filtrant inductive limits. For example consider the family* $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of Remark 1.1.1. We have* $\rho_*\varinjlim_n k_{V_n} \simeq \rho_*k_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\{0\}}$*, while for each* $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *with* $0 \in \partial U$ *we have* $\Gamma(U;\varinjlim_n \rho_*k_{V_n}) \simeq \varinjlim_n \Gamma(U;\rho_*k_{V_n}) = 0$*.*

Proposition 1.1.7 *Let* U *be an open subanalytic subset of* X *and consider the constant sheaf* $k_{U_{X_{sa}}} \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$*. We have* $k_{U_{X_{sa}}} \simeq \rho_*k_U$*.*

Proof. Let F be the presheaf defined by $F(V) = k$ if $V \subset U$, $F(V) = 0$ otherwise. This is a separated presheaf and $k_{U_{X_{sa}}} = F^{++}$. Moreover there is an injective arrow $F(V) \hookrightarrow \rho_*k_U(V)$ for each $V \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. Hence $F^{++} \hookrightarrow \rho_*k_U$ since the functor $(\cdot)^{++}$ is exact. Let $W \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ be connected. We have $F(W) \simeq \rho_*k_U(W) \simeq k$ and then $F^{++} \simeq \rho_*k_U$ since subanalytic open connected subsets of X form a basis for the topology of X_{sa}. □

Proposition 1.1.8 *One has* $\rho^{-1} \circ \rho_* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{id}$*, in particular the functor* ρ_* *is fully faithful.*

Proof. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$. Every $x \in X$ has a fundamental neighborhood system consisting of open subanalytic subsets. Hence we have the chain of isomorphisms

$$(\rho^{-1}\rho_*F)_x \simeq \varinjlim_{x\in U} \rho^{-1}\rho_*F(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{x\in U} \rho_*F(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{x\in U} F(U) \simeq F_x,$$

where U ranges through the family of open subanalytic neighborhoods of x. □

Proposition 1.1.9 *The restriction of* ρ_* *to* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ *is exact.*

Proof. (i) Let us consider an epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow F$ in $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$, we have to prove that $\psi : \rho_*G \to \rho_*F$ is an epimorphism. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ and let $s \in \Gamma(U; \rho_*F) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(k_U, F)$. Set $G' = G \times_F k_U$. Then $G' \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and moreover $G' \twoheadrightarrow k_U$. There exists a finite $\{U_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ with U_i connected for each i such that $\oplus_i k_{U_i} \twoheadrightarrow G'$. The composition $k_{U_i} \to G' \to k_U$ is given by the multiplication by $a_i \in k$. Set $I_0 = \{k_{U_i};\ a_i \neq 0\}$, we may assume $a_i = 1$. We get a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\oplus_{i\in I_0} k_{U_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[dr, two heads] & G' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads] & G \arrow[d, two heads] \\
& k_U \arrow[r, "s"] & F.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The composition $k_{U_i} \to G' \to G$ defines $t_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(k_{U_i}, G) = \Gamma(U_i; \rho_*G)$. Hence for each $s \in \Gamma(U; \rho_*F)$ there exists a finite covering $\{U_i\}$ of U and $t_i \in \Gamma(U_i; \rho_*G)$ such that $\psi(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$. This means that ψ is surjective.

(ii) Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. By Remark 1.1.5 $\rho_*F \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{U} \rho_*F_U$, where U ranges through the family $\mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. The result follows since ρ_* is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and filtrant $\varinjlim$ are exact. □

Notations 1.1.10 *Since the functor* ρ_* *is fully faithful and exact on the category* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$*, we can identify* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ *with its image in* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$*. When there is no risk of confusion we will write* F *instead of* ρ_*F*, for* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$*.*

The following theorem gives a fundamental characterization of subanalytic sheaves and it will be used systematically in the following Sections.

Theorem 1.1.11 *(i) Let* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ *and let* $\{F_i\}$ *be a filtrant inductive system in* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$*. Then we have an isomorphism*

$$\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_*G, F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_*G, \varinjlim_i F_i).$$

(ii) Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$*. There exists a small filtrant inductive system* $\{F_i\}_{i\in I}$ *in* $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ *such that* $F \simeq \varinjlim\limits_i \rho_*F_i$*.*

Proof. (i) There exists an exact sequence $G_1 \to G_0 \to G \to 0$ with G_1, G_0 finite direct sums of constant sheaves k_U with $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. Since ρ_* is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and commutes with finite sums, by Proposition 1.1.7 we are reduced to prove the isomorphism $\varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; F_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(U; \varinjlim_i F_i)$. Then the result follows from Proposition 1.1.3.

(ii) Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &:= \{(U,s);\ U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa}),\ s \in \Gamma(U;F)\} \\ G_0 &:= \oplus_{(U,s)\in I_0} \rho_* k_U \end{aligned}$$

The morphism $\rho_* k_U \to F$, where the section $1 \in \Gamma(U; k_U)$ is sent to $s \in \Gamma(U; F)$ defines un epimorphism $\varphi : G_0 \to F$. Replacing F by $\ker\varphi$ we construct a sheaf $G_1 = \oplus_{(V,t)\in I_1} \rho_* k_V$ and an epimorphism $G_1 \twoheadrightarrow \ker\varphi$. Hance we get an exact sequence $G_1 \to G_0 \to F \to 0$. For $J_0 \subset I_0$ set for short $G_{J_0} = \oplus_{(U,s)\in J_0} \rho_* k_U$ and define similarly G_{J_1}. Set

$$J = \{(J_1, J_0);\ J_k \subset I_k,\ J_k \text{ is finite and } \mathrm{im}\varphi|_{G_{J_1}} \subset G_{J_0}\}.$$

The category J is filtrant and $F \simeq \varinjlim_{(J_1,J_0)\in J} \mathrm{coker}(G_{J_1} \to G_{J_0})$. □

Now we will introduce a left adjoint to the functor ρ^{-1}.

Proposition 1.1.12 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, and let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$. Then*

$$\Gamma(U; \rho^{-1}F) \simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} \Gamma(V; F)$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1.11 we may assume $F = \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$, with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$). Then $\rho^{-1}F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho^{-1}\rho_* F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i F_i$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U; \rho^{-1}F) &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U} \Gamma(\overline{V}; \rho^{-1}F) &&\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U} \Gamma(\overline{V}; \varinjlim_i F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(\overline{V}; F_i) &&\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; F_i) \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U} \varinjlim_i \Gamma(V; \rho_* F_i) &&\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U} \Gamma(V; F), \end{aligned}$$

where $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. The third isomorphism follows since $\overline{V}$ is compact and the last isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.1.3. □

Proposition 1.1.13 *The functor ρ^{-1} admits a left adjoint, denoted by $\rho_!$. It satisfies*

(i) for $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ and $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$, $\rho_! F$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \Gamma(\overline{U}; F)$,

(ii) for $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ one has $\rho_! k_U \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} k_V$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{F} \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_{X_{sa}})$ be the presheaf $U \mapsto \Gamma(\overline{U}; F)$, and let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. We will construct morphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}(k_{X_{sa}})}(\widetilde{F}, G) \underset{\vartheta}{\overset{\xi}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1}G)\ .$$

To define ξ, let $\varphi : \widetilde{F} \to G$ and $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$. Then the morphism $\xi(\varphi)(U) : F(U) \to \rho^{-1}G(U)$ is defined as follows

$$F(U) \simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} F(\overline{V}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} G(V) \simeq \rho^{-1}G(U).$$

On the other hand, let $\psi : F \to \rho^{-1}G$ and $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. Then the morphism $\vartheta(\psi)(U) : \widetilde{F}(U) \to G(U)$ is defined as follows

$$\widetilde{F}(U) \simeq \varinjlim_{U \subset\subset V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} F(V) \xrightarrow{\psi} \varinjlim_{U \subset\subset V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} \rho^{-1}G(V) \to G(U).$$

By construction one can check that the morphism ξ and ϑ are inverse to each others. Then (i) follows from the chain of isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\widetilde{F}^{++}, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}(k_{X_{sa}})}(\widetilde{F}, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(F, \rho^{-1}G).$$

To show (ii), consider the following sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_! k_U, F) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(k_U, \rho^{-1}F) \\
&\simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_V, F) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})} k_V, F),
\end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.1.12. □

Proposition 1.1.14 *The functor $\rho_!$ is exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$ and $\otimes$.*

Proof. It follows by adjunction that $\rho_!$ is right exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$, so let us show that it is also left exact. With the notations of Proposition 1.1.13, let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$, and let $\widetilde{F} \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_{X_{sa}})$ be the presheaf $U \mapsto \Gamma(\overline{U}; F)$. Then $\rho_! F \simeq \widetilde{F}^{++}$, and the functors $F \mapsto \widetilde{F}$ and $G \mapsto G^{++}$ are left exact.
Let us show that $\rho_!$ commutes with $\otimes$. Let $F, G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$, the morphism

$$F(\overline{U}) \otimes G(\overline{U}) \to (F \otimes G)(\overline{U})$$

defines a morphism in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$

$$\rho_! F \otimes \rho_! G \to \rho_!(F \otimes G)$$

by Proposition 1.1.13 (i). Since $\rho_!$ commutes with $\varinjlim$ we may suppose that $F = k_U$ and $G = k_V$ and the result follows from Proposition 1.1.13 (ii). $\square$

Proposition 1.1.15 *The functor $\rho_!$ is fully faithful. In particular one has $\rho^{-1} \circ \rho_! \simeq \mathrm{id}$. Moreover, for $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ and $G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ one has*

$$\rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(\rho_! F, G) \simeq \mathcal{H}om(F, \rho^{-1}G).$$

Proof. For $F, G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ we have by adjunction

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(\rho^{-1}\rho_! F, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1}\rho_* G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(F, G).$$

This also implies that $\rho_!$ is fully faithful, in fact

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_! F, \rho_! G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1}\rho_! G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(F, G).$$

Now let $K, F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ and $G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(K, \rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(\rho_! F, G)) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_! K, \mathcal{H}om(\rho_! F, G)) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_! K \otimes \rho_! F, G) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_!(K \otimes F), G) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(K \otimes F, \rho^{-1}G) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(K, \mathcal{H}om(F, \rho^{-1}G))
\end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. $\square$

1.2 Operations on the subanalytic site.

Let X, Y be two real analytic manifolds, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a real analytic map. This defines a morphism of sites $f : X_{sa} \to Y_{sa}$. We have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & Y \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\ X_{sa} \arrow[r, "f"] & Y_{sa}. \end{tikzcd}$$

The following functors are always well defined on a site

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{H}om & : & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})^{op} \times \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}), \\ \otimes & : & \mathrm{Mod}(k^{op}_{X_{sa}}) \times \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}), \\ f_* & : & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}}), \\ f^{-1} & : & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}). \end{array}$$

Let us summarize their properties:

- the functor $\mathcal{H}om$ is left exact and commutes with ρ_*,
- the functor $\otimes$ is exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$, ρ^{-1} and $\rho_!$,
- the functor f_* is left exact and commutes with ρ_* and $\varprojlim$,
- the functor f^{-1} is exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$, $\otimes$ and ρ^{-1},
- (f^{-1}, f_*) is a pair of adjoint functors.

Let Z be a subanalytic locally closed subset of X. As in classical sheaf theory we define

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma_Z : \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \\ F & \mapsto & \mathcal{H}om(\rho_* k_Z, F) \\ (\cdot)_Z : \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \\ F & \mapsto & F \otimes \rho_* k_Z. \end{array}$$

We have

- the functor Γ_Z is left exact and commutes with ρ_* and $\varprojlim$,
- the functor $(\cdot)_Z$ is exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$, $\otimes$ and ρ^{-1},
- $((\cdot)_Z, \Gamma_Z)$ is a pair of adjoint functors.

1.3 $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves on subanalytic sites

Let us consider the category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

Proposition 1.3.1 *Let* $F, G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. *Then* $\rho_*(F \otimes G) \simeq \rho_* F \otimes \rho_* G$.

Proof. We may reduce to the case $F = k_U$, $G = k_V$ with $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$. In this case $\rho_* k_{U \cap V} \simeq \rho_* k_U \otimes \rho_* k_V$ by Proposition 1.1.7. $\square$

Corollary 1.3.2 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$, *and let* Z *be a subanalytic locally closed subset of* X. *Then* $\rho_* F_Z \simeq (\rho_* F)_Z$.

Let X, Y be two real analytic manifolds, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a real analytic map.

Proposition 1.3.3 *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a real analytic map. Let* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)$. *Then* $\rho_* f^{-1} G \simeq f^{-1} \rho_* G$.

Proof. Since the functor f^{-1} is exact, we may reduce to the case $G = k_V$, with $V \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(Y)$. In this case we have $\rho_* f^{-1} k_V \simeq \rho_* k_{f^{-1}(V)} \simeq f^{-1} \rho_* k_V$, where the last isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.1.7. $\square$

We apply the above results to calculate the functor $\mathcal{H}om$ in the category $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$.

Proposition 1.3.4 *Let* $F = \varinjlim\limits_i F_i$, *with* $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and let* $G = \varinjlim\limits_j \rho_* G_j$ *with* $G_j \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. *One has*

$$\mathcal{H}om(G, F) \simeq \varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \mathcal{H}om(\rho_* G_j, F_i).$$

Proof. For each $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ one has the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}om(G, F)) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_U, F) \\
&\simeq \varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_* G_{jU}, F_i) \\
&\simeq \varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om(\rho_* G_j, F_i)) \\
&\simeq \Gamma(U; \varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \mathcal{H}om(\rho_* G_j, F_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

In the second isomorphism we used Corollary 1.3.2, and the last isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.1.3 and because $\Gamma(U;\cdot)$ commutes with $\varprojlim$. □

Corollary 1.3.5 *Let* $F=\varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i, G=\varinjlim_j \rho_* G_j$ *with* $F_i, G_j \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. *One has*

$$\mathcal{H}om(G,F) \simeq \varprojlim_j \varinjlim_i \rho_* \mathcal{H}om(G_j,F_i).$$

Proof. It follows from the fact that $\mathcal{H}om$ commutes with ρ_* and from Proposition 1.3.4. □

Corollary 1.3.6 *Let* $F=\varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$, *with* $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(X)$ *be a sheaf on* X_{sa}. *Let* Z *be a subanalytic locally closed subset of* X. *Then* $\Gamma_Z F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* \Gamma_Z F_i$.

1.4 Proper direct image on $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$

In [7] the authors defined the functor $f_{!!}$ of proper direct image using ind-sheaves. Here we give a direct construction:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f_{!!}:\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}}) \\ F & \mapsto & \varinjlim_U f_* F_U \simeq \varinjlim_K f_* \Gamma_K F \end{array}$$

where U ranges trough the family of relatively compact open subanalytic subsets of X and K ranges trough the family of subanalytic compact subsets of X. One shall be aware that $\varinjlim$ is taken in the category $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Let $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(Y_{sa})$. Then $\Gamma(V;f_{!!}F)=\varinjlim_U \Gamma(f^{-1}(V);F_U) \simeq \varinjlim_K \Gamma(f^{-1}(V);\Gamma_K F)$, where U ranges trough the family of relatively compact open subanalytic subsets of X and K ranges trough the family of subanalytic compact subsets of X. If f is proper on $\mathrm{supp}(F)$ then $f_* \simeq f_{!!}$ and in this case $f_{!!}\circ\rho_* \simeq \rho_* \circ f_!$.

Remark 1.4.1 *Remark that* $f_{!!}\circ\rho_* \neq \rho_* \circ f_!$ *in general. Indeed let* $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(Y)$, *then*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma(V;f_{!!}\rho_* F) & = & \varinjlim_K \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(k_{f^{-1}(V)},\Gamma_K F), \\ \Gamma(V;\rho_* f_! F) & = & \varinjlim_Z \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(k_{f^{-1}(V)},\Gamma_Z F), \end{array}$$

where Z ranges trough the family of closed subsets of $f^{-1}(V)$ such that $f|_Z : Z \to V$ is proper. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(V; f_{!!}\rho_* F) &= \{s \in \Gamma(f^{-1}(V); F);\ \overline{\mathrm{supp}(s)} \textit{ is compact in } X\},\\ \Gamma(V; \rho_* f_! F) &= \{s \in \Gamma(f^{-1}(V); F);\ f : \mathrm{supp}(s) \to V \textit{ is proper}\}.\end{aligned}$$

For example, let $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the projection on the first coordinate, and let $V = (a,b) \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $\mathrm{supp}(s) = \{(x,y) \in (a,b) \times \mathbb{R},\quad y = \frac{1}{(x-a)(b-x)}\}$*. Then $f : \mathrm{supp}(s) \to V$ is proper but $\overline{\mathrm{supp}(s)}$ is not compact.*

Proposition 1.4.2 *The functor $f_{!!}$ commutes with filtrant $\varinjlim$. Moreover $\rho^{-1} \circ f_{!!} \simeq f_! \circ \rho^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let us show that $f_{!!}$ commutes with filtrant $\varinjlim$. Let $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(Y)$ and let $\{F_i\}_i$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varinjlim_K \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{f^{-1}(V)}, \Gamma_K \varinjlim_i F_i) &\simeq \varinjlim_K \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{f^{-1}(V)\cap K}, \varinjlim_i F_i)\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{i,K} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{f^{-1}(V)\cap K}, F_i)\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{i,K} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{f^{-1}(V)}, \Gamma_K F_i)\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(k_V, f_{!!}F_i)\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(k_V, \varinjlim_i f_{!!}F_i),\end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from the fact that $k_{f^{-1}(V)\cap K} \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

Let us show $\rho^{-1} \circ f_{!!} \simeq f_! \circ \rho^{-1}$. Let $F = \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$. Since $f_{!!}$ commutes with $\varinjlim$ and F_i has compact support for each i we have $f_{!!}F = \varinjlim_i \rho_* f_! F_i$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}f_! \rho^{-1} \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i &\simeq f_! \varinjlim_i \rho^{-1}\rho_* F_i \simeq f_! \varinjlim_i F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i f_! F_i\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_i \rho^{-1}\rho_* f_! F_i \simeq \rho^{-1} \varinjlim_i \rho_* f_! F_i.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.4.3 *The functor f_* commutes with ρ^{-1}.*

Proof. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then $f_*F \simeq \varprojlim_K f_*F_K$, where K ranges through the family of subanalytic compact subsets of X. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\rho^{-1}F &\simeq \varprojlim_K f_*(\rho^{-1}F)_K \simeq \varprojlim_K f_{!!}(\rho^{-1}F)_K \simeq \varprojlim_K f_{!!}\rho^{-1}F_K \\ &\simeq \varprojlim_K \rho^{-1}f_!F_K \simeq \rho^{-1}\varprojlim_K f_!F_K \simeq \rho^{-1}\varprojlim_K f_*F_K \simeq \rho^{-1}f_*F, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and the sixth isomorphism follow from the fact that f is proper on a compact subset of X. □

Corollary 1.4.4 *The functor f^{-1} commutes with $\rho_!$.*

Proof. It follows immediately by adjunction. □

Proposition 1.4.5 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ and $G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Then*

$$f_{!!}F \otimes G \simeq f_{!!}(F \otimes f^{-1}G).$$

Proof. Let $F = \varinjlim_i \rho_*F_i$, $G = \varinjlim_j \rho_*G_j$. The functors $\otimes$, $f_{!!}$ and f^{-1} commute with $\varinjlim_i$. Moreover $\mathrm{supp}(F_i \otimes f^{-1}G_j)$ is compact for each i, j, hence f is proper on it. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_{!!}\varinjlim_i \rho_*F_i \otimes \varinjlim_j \rho_*G_j &\simeq \varinjlim_{i,j} \rho_*(f_!F_i \otimes G_j) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{i,j} \rho_*(f_!(F_i \otimes f^{-1}G_j)) \\ &\simeq f_{!!}(\varinjlim_i \rho_*F_i \otimes f^{-1}\varinjlim_i \rho_*G_j). \end{aligned}$$

In the first isomorphism we used Proposition 1.3.1 and in the last one we used Propositions 1.3.1 and 1.3.3. □

Now let us consider a cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd} X'_{sa} \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y'_{sa} \arrow[d, "g"] \\ X_{sa} \arrow[r, "f"] & Y_{sa} \end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 1.4.6 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then* $g^{-1}f_{!!}F \simeq f'_{!!}g'^{-1}F$.

Proof. Let $F = \varinjlim\limits_i \rho_* F_i$. All the functors in the above formula commute with $\varinjlim\limits_i$. Moreover since $\mathrm{supp}(F_i)$ is compact, f' is proper on $\mathrm{supp}(g'^{-1}F_i)$ for each i. Then

$$g^{-1}f_{!!}\varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* g^{-1} f_! F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* f'_! g'^{-1} F_i \simeq f'_{!!} g'^{-1} \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i,$$

where the first and the last isomorphisms follow from Proposition 1.3.3. $\square$

Proposition 1.4.7 *Let* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)$ *and let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then the natural morphism*

$$f_{!!}\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}G, F) \to \mathcal{H}om(G, f_{!!}F)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let us construct the morphism. By adjunction we have

$$f^{-1}G \otimes \mathcal{H}om(G^{-1}, F) \to F,$$

hence, using the projection formula we get

$$G \otimes f_{!!}\mathcal{H}om(G^{-1}, F) \simeq f_{!!}(f^{-1}G \otimes \mathcal{H}om(G^{-1}, F)) \to f_{!!}F,$$

then by adjunction we obtain the desired morphism. Let us show that it is an isomorphism. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} f_{!!}\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}G,F) &\simeq \varinjlim_K f_*\Gamma_K\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}G,F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_K f_*\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}G,\Gamma_K F) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_K \mathcal{H}om(G,f_*\Gamma_K F) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}om(G,\varinjlim_K f_*\Gamma_K F) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}om(G,f_{!!}F), \end{aligned}$$

where the fourth isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.3.4. □

1.5 Quasi-injective objects

Let us introduce a category which is useful in order to find acyclic objects with respect to the functors defined in the previous sections.

Definition 1.5.1 *An object* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *is quasi-injective if the functor* $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot,F)$ *is exact in* $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ *or, equivalently (see Theorem 8.7.2 of [8]) if for each* $U,V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ *with* $V \subset U$ *the restriction morphism* $\Gamma(U;F) \to \Gamma(V;F)$ *is surjective.*

It follows from the definition that injective sheaves belong to $\mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. This implies that $\mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ is cogenerating. Moreover the category $\mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ is stable by filtrant $\varinjlim$ and $\prod$.

Proposition 1.5.2 *Let* $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ *be an exact sequence in* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and assume that* F' *is quasi-injective. Let* $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$*. Then the sequence*

$$0 \to \Gamma(U;F') \to \Gamma(U;F) \to \Gamma(U;F'') \to 0$$

is exact.

Proof. Let $s'' \in \Gamma(U;F'')$, and let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite covering of U such that there exists $s_i \in \Gamma(V_i;F)$ whose image is $s''|_{V_i}$. For $n \geq 2$ on $V_1 \cap V_2$ $s_1 - s_2$ defines a section of $\Gamma(V_1 \cap V_2;F')$ which extends to $s' \in \Gamma(X;F')$.

Replace s_1 with $s_1 - s'$. We may suppose that $s_1 = s_2$ on $V_1 \cap V_2$. Then there exists $t \in \Gamma(V_1 \cup V_2)$ such that $t|_{V_i} = s_i$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus the induction proceeds. $\square$

Proposition 1.5.3 *Let* $F', F, F'' \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, *and consider the exact sequence*

$$0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0.$$

Suppose that $F', F \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. *Then* $F'' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$.

Proof. Let $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ with $V \subset U$ and let us consider the diagram below

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma(U; F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & \Gamma(U; F'') \arrow[d, "\gamma"] \\
\Gamma(V; F) \arrow[r, "\beta"] & \Gamma(V; F'').
\end{tikzcd}$$

The morphism α is surjective since F is quasi-injective and β is surjective by Proposition 1.5.2. Then γ is surjective. $\square$

Theorem 1.5.4 *The family of quasi-injective sheaves is injective with respect to the functor* $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, \cdot)$ *for each* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

Proof. (i) Let $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ and assume that $F', F, F'' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. Let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. We have to show that the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, F') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, F'') \to 0$$

is exact. G has a resolution

$$0 \to \oplus_{i_1 \in I_1} k_{U_{i_1}} \to \ldots \to \oplus_{i_n \in I_n} k_{U_{i_n}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \to 0.$$

Where I_j is finite and $U_{i_j} \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$ for each $i_j \in I_j$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let us argue by induction on the length n of the resolution.

(a) If $n = 1$, then G is isomorphic to a finite sum $\oplus_i k_{U_i}$, with $U_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$, and the result follows from Proposition 1.5.2.

(b) Let us show $n-1 \Rightarrow n$. The sequence $0 \to \ker\varphi \to \oplus_{i_n \in I_n} k_{U_{i_n}} \to G \to 0$ is exact. The sheaf $\ker\varphi$ belongs to $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and it has a resolution of length $n-1$. We set for short $G_1 = \ker\varphi$ and $G_2 = \oplus_{i_n \in I_n} k_{U_{i_n}}$. We get the following diagram where the columns are exact

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,F') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,F'') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_2,F') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_2,F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_2,F'') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_1,F') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_1,F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_1,F'') \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & 0 & 0 & 0 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

The second row is exact by (i) and the third one is exact by the induction hypothesis. Hence the top row is exact.

(ii) Let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$, let $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ with $F' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. Let $\{V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathrm{Cov}(X_{sa})$ such that $V_n \subset\subset V_{n+1}$. By (i), all the sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, F') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, F'') \to 0$$

are exact. Moreover since $F' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ the morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_{n+1}}, F') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, F')$ is surjective for all n. Then by the Mittag-Leffler property (see Proposition 1.12.3 of [5]) the sequence

$$0 \to \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, F') \to \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, F) \to \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, F'') \to 0$$

is exact. Since $\varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{V_n}, \cdot) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, \cdot)$ the result follows. □

Proposition 1.5.5 *Let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. Then quasi-injective sheaves are injective with respect to the functor $\mathcal{H}om(G, \cdot)$.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. It is enough to check that for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ and each exact sequence $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ with $F' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$, the sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om(G, F')) \to \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om(G, F)) \to \Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om(G, F'')) \to 0$$

is exact. We have $\Gamma(U,\mathcal{H}om(G,\cdot)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_U,\cdot)$, and quasi-injective objects are injective with respect to the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_U,\cdot)$ for each $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$, and for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. $\square$

Corollary 1.5.6 *Quasi-injective sheaves are injective with respect to the functor* Γ_Z *for each locally closed subanalytic subset* Z *of* X.

Corollary 1.5.7 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *be quasi-injective. Then the functor* $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot,F)$ *is exact on* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

Proof. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ be quasi-injective. There is an isomorphism of functors $\Gamma(U;\mathcal{H}om(\cdot,F)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}((\cdot)_U,F)$ for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. The functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}((\cdot)_U,F)$ is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and the result follows. $\square$

Proposition 1.5.8 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then* F *is quasi-injective if and only if* $\mathcal{H}om(G,F)$ *is quasi-injective for each* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

Proof. (i) Let F be quasi-injective, and let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. We have $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot,\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot \otimes G,F)$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot \otimes G,F)$ is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

(ii) Suppose that $\mathcal{H}om(G,F)$ is quasi-injective for each $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. The result follows by setting $G = k_X$. $\square$

Corollary 1.5.9 *The functor* Γ_Z *send quasi-injective objects to quasi-injective objects for each locally closed subanalytic subset* Z *of* X.

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of real analytic manifolds.

Proposition 1.5.10 *Quasi-injective sheaves are injective with respect to the functor* f_*. *The functor* f_* *sends quasi-injective objects to quasi-injective objects.*

Proof. (i) Let us consider $V \in \mathrm{Op}(Y_{sa})$. There is an isomorphism of functors $\Gamma(V;f_*(\cdot)) \simeq \Gamma(f^{-1}(V);\cdot)$. It follows from Proposition 1.5.4 that $\mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ is injective with respect to the functor $\Gamma(f^{-1}(V);\cdot) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{f^{-1}(V)},\cdot)$ for any $V \in \mathrm{Op}(Y_{sa})$.

(ii) Let $F \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. For each $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)$ we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(G, f_*F) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(f^{-1}G, F)$. Since f^{-1} is exact and sends $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)$ to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$, Proposition 1.5.4 implies that the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(f^{-1}(\cdot), F)$ is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)$. $\square$

Proposition 1.5.11 *The family of quasi-injective sheaves is $f_{!!}$-injective. The functor $f_{!!}$ sends quasi-injective objects to quasi-injective objects.*

(i) Let $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ and assume that $F' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. We have to check that the sequence $0 \to f_{!!}F' \to f_{!!}F \to f_{!!}F'' \to 0$ is exact. Since $F' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$, we have $\Gamma_K F' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. Moreover $\mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ is injective with respect to Γ_K and f_*. This implies that the sequence

$$0 \to f_*\Gamma_K F' \to f_*\Gamma_K F \to f_*\Gamma_K F'' \to 0$$

is exact. Applying the exact functor $\varinjlim\limits_{K}$ we find that the sequence

$$0 \to \varinjlim_{K} f_*\Gamma_K F' \to \varinjlim_{K} f_*\Gamma_K F \to \varinjlim_{K} f_*\Gamma_K F'' \to 0$$

is exact.

(ii) Let K be a compact subanalytic subset of X. The functors Γ_K and f_* send quasi-injective objects to quasi-injective objects, then $f_*\Gamma_K F \in \mathcal{J}_{Y_{sa}}$. Since $\mathcal{J}_{Y_{sa}}$ is stable by filtrant $\varinjlim$, the result follows. $\square$

Let S be a closed subanalytic subset of X and let $i_S : S \hookrightarrow X$ be the closed embedding. Let $F = \varinjlim\limits_{i} \rho_* F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. We have $F_S \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{i} \rho_* F_{iS} \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{i} \rho_* i_{S*} i_S^{-1} F_i \simeq i_{S*} i_S^{-1} F$.

Lemma 1.5.12 *Let S be a closed subanalytic subset of X and let $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then $\Gamma(U; F_S) \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{V \supset S \cap U} \Gamma(V; F)$, with $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$.*

Proof. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i$ with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(U; F_S) &\simeq \varinjlim_i \Gamma(U; F_{iS}) \\ &\xleftarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{i, V \supset S \cap U} \Gamma(V; F_i) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{V \supset S \cap U} \Gamma(V; F),\end{aligned}$$

where V ranges through the family of relatively compact open subanalytic subsets of X containing $S \cap U$. The second isomorphism follows since the F_i is $\mathbb{R}$-constructible for each i. □

Proposition 1.5.13 *Let S be a closed subanalytic subset of X and let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ be quasi-injective. Then F_S is quasi-injective.*

Proof. Let $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ with $V \subset U$. Since F is quasi-injective and inductive limits are right exact, the morphism $\varinjlim_{U' \supset S \cap U} \Gamma(U'; F) \to \varinjlim_{V' \supset S \cap V} \Gamma(V'; F)$ with $V', U' \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$, is surjective. Hence by Lemma 1.5.12 the morphism $\Gamma(U; F_S) \to \Gamma(V; F_S)$ is surjective and the result follows. □

Recall that $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ is c-soft if the natural morphism $\Gamma(X; F) \to \Gamma(K, F)$ is surjective for each compact $K \subset X$. If F is c-soft and Z is a locally closed subset of X, then F_Z is c-soft. Moreover c-soft sheaves are $\Gamma(U; \cdot)$-injective for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$.

Proposition 1.5.14 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ be quasi-injective. then $\rho^{-1}F$ is c-soft.*

Proof. Let K be a compact subset of X. Recall that if $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ then $\Gamma(U; \rho^{-1}F) \simeq \varprojlim_{V \subset\subset U} \Gamma(V; F)$, where $V \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(K;\rho^{-1}F) &\simeq \varinjlim_{U}\Gamma(U;\rho^{-1}F)\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{U}\varprojlim_{V\subset\subset U}\Gamma(V;F)\\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{U}\Gamma(U;F)\end{aligned}$$

where U ranges through the family of subanalytic relatively compact open subsets of X containing K and $V \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$.

Since F is quasi-injective and filtrant inductive limits are exact, the morphism $\Gamma(X;\rho^{-1}F) \simeq \Gamma(X;F) \to \varinjlim_{U}\Gamma(U;F) \simeq \Gamma(K;\rho^{-1}F)$, where U ranges through the family of subanalytic open subsets of X containing K, is surjective. $\square$

Let us consider the following subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$:

$$\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}} \quad := \quad \{G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}});\ G \text{ is } \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot, F)\text{-acyclic for each } F \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}\}.$$

This category is generating, in fact if $\{G_j\}_j$ is a filtrant inductive system of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves $\otimes_j \rho_* G_j \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$ by Corollary 1.5.4. Moreover $\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$ is stable by $\cdot \otimes K$, where $K \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. In fact if $G \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$ and $F \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G \otimes K, F) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, \mathcal{H}om(K,F))$$

and $\mathcal{H}om(K,F) \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ by Proposition 1.5.8.

Theorem 1.5.15 *The category* $\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}^{op} \times \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ *is injective with respect to the functor* $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Proof. (i) Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$ and consider an exact sequence $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ with $F' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. We have to prove that the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,F') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,F'') \to 0$$

is exact. Since the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,\cdot)$ is acyclic on quasi-injective sheaves we obtain the result.

(ii) Let $F \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$, and let $0 \to G' \to G \to G'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence on $\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$. Since the objects of $\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$ are $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot,F)$-acyclic the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G'',F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G,F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G',F) \to 0$$

is exact. □

Corollary 1.5.16 *The category* $\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}^{op} \times \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ *is injective with respect to the functor* $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Proof. Let us show that $\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}^{op} \times \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ is injective with respect to the functor $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot,\cdot)$. Let $G \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$, and let $0 \to F' \to F \to F'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence with $F', F, F'' \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$. We shall show that for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ the sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma(U;\mathcal{H}om(G,F'')) \to \Gamma(U;\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \to \Gamma(U;\mathcal{H}om(G,F')) \to 0$$

is exact. This is equivalent to show that for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ the sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_U,F'') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_U,F) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_U,F') \to 0$$

is exact. This follows since $G_U \in \mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}$. The proof of the exactness in $\mathcal{P}_{X_{sa}}^{op}$ is similar. □

1.6 The functor $\rho_!$

We have seen that the functor $\rho^{-1} : \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ has a left adjoint $\rho_! : \mathrm{Mod}(k_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. The functor $\rho_!$ is fully faithful and exact. In particular, for $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ one has $\rho_! k_U \simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \rho_* k_V$, where $V \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$.

Proposition 1.6.1 *Let S be a closed subset of X. Then* $\rho_! k_S \simeq \varinjlim_{W \supset S} \rho_* k_{\overline{W}}$, *where* $W \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$.

Proof. (i) Let $U = X \setminus S$. Since $\rho_!$ is exact we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \rho_! k_U \to \rho_! k_X \to \rho_! k_S \to 0.$$

On the other hand, let $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$ and $V \subset\subset U$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to k_V \to k_X \to k_{X\setminus V} \to 0$. Since ρ_* is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ the sequence $0 \to \rho_* k_V \to \rho_* k_X \to \rho_* k_{X\setminus V} \to 0$ is exact. Applying the exact $\varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U}$ we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \to \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \rho_* k_V \to \rho_* k_X \to \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \rho_* k_{X\setminus V} \to 0.$$

We have $\varinjlim\limits_{V\subset\subset U} \rho_* k_V \simeq \rho_! k_U$ and $\rho_* k_X \simeq \rho_! k_X$. Hence $\rho_! k_S \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{V\subset\subset U} \rho_* k_{X\setminus V}$.

(ii) We shall show that for each $U' \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$ the natural morphism

$$\varinjlim_{V\subset\subset U} \Gamma(U'; k_{X\setminus V}) \to \varinjlim_{W\supset S} \Gamma(U'; k_{\overline{W}}) \tag{1.2}$$

is an isomorphism. We shall see that for each $W \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ with $W \supset S$ there exists $W' \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ such that $X \setminus \overline{W'} \subset\subset U$ and $\overline{W} \cap U' = \overline{W'} \cap U'$. Set $W' = W \cup (X \setminus \overline{U'})$. Since U' is relatively compact, $X \setminus \overline{W'} \subset\subset U$, and $\overline{W} \cap U' = \overline{W'} \cap U'$ by construction. Then

$$\varinjlim_{V\subset\subset U} \Gamma(U'; k_{X\setminus V}) \simeq \varinjlim_{(X\setminus\overline{W})\subset\subset U} \Gamma(U'; k_{\overline{W}}) \simeq \varinjlim_{W\supset S} \Gamma(U'; k_{\overline{W}}).$$

□

Notations 1.6.2 *Let $Z = U \cap S$, where $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ and let S be a closed subset of X. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. We set for short ${}_Z F = F \otimes \rho_! k_Z$*

Lemma 1.6.3 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$ and let S be a closed subset of X.*

(i) One has ${}_U F \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{V\subset\subset U} F_V \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{V\subset\subset U} \Gamma_{\overline{V}} F$, $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$.

(ii) One has ${}_S F \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{W\supset S} F_{\overline{W}} \simeq \varinjlim\limits_{W\supset S} \Gamma_W F$, $W \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$.

Proof. (i) The first isomorphism is obvious. Let us show the second isomorphism. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\varinjlim_{V\subset\subset U} F_V \xleftarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{V,V'\subset\subset U} (\Gamma_{\overline{V'}} F)_V \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{V'\subset\subset U} \Gamma_{\overline{V'}} F,$$

where V, V' range through the family of subanalytic open subsets of X.

The proof of (ii) is similar. □

Proposition 1.6.4 *Let Z be a locally closed subset of X. Let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then ${}_Z\mathcal{H}om(G, F) \simeq \mathcal{H}om(G, {}_Z F)$.*

Proof. (i) Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X)$. For each $U' \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ we have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(U'; {}_U\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{U'}, \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \Gamma_{\overline{V}}\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{U'}, \Gamma_{\overline{V}}\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{U' \cap \overline{V}}, \mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{U' \cap \overline{V}}, F) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G_{U'}, \Gamma_{\overline{V}}F) \\
&\simeq \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{U'}, \mathcal{H}om(G, \Gamma_{\overline{V}}F)) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{U'}, \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \mathcal{H}om(G, \Gamma_{\overline{V}}F)) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_{U'}, \mathcal{H}om(G, \varinjlim_{V \subset\subset U} \Gamma_{\overline{V}}F)) \\
&\simeq \Gamma(U', \mathcal{H}om(G, {}_UF)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $V \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$.

(ii) If S is a closed subset of X the proof is similar. $\square$

Proposition 1.6.5 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ be quasi-injective. Then $\rho_!K \otimes F$ is quasi-injective for each $K \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$.*

Proof. (i) Let us show the result when $K = k_Z$, for a locally closed subset Z of X. Let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(G, {}_ZF) &\simeq \Gamma(X; \mathcal{H}om(G, {}_ZF)) \\
&\simeq \Gamma(X; {}_Z\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \\
&\simeq \Gamma(X; \rho^{-1}{}_Z\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \\
&\simeq \Gamma(X; (\rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G,F))_Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Since F is quasi-injective, $\mathcal{H}om(G,F)$ is quasi-injective. Then by Proposition 1.5.14 the sheaf $(\rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(G,F))_Z$ is c-soft and it is injective with respect to the functor $\Gamma(X,\cdot)$. Hence the functor $\Gamma(X; \rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(\cdot,F)_Z)$ is exact on $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$.

(ii) Let $K \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$. There exists an epimorphism $\oplus_{i \in I} k_{U_i} \twoheadrightarrow K$ with $U_i \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$ for each i. Let K_J be the image of $\oplus_{i \in J} k_{U_i}$, with $J \subset I$ finite. We have $K \simeq \varinjlim_J K_J$, hence $\rho_! K \simeq \varinjlim_J \rho_! K_J$ since $\rho_!$ commutes with $\varinjlim$. It is enough to prove the result for K_J. We argue by induction on the cardinal of J. Set $K = K_J$. If $|J| = 1$ then $K \simeq k_Z$ with Z locally closed subset of X and the result follows from (i).

Let us show $n - 1 \Rightarrow n$. There is an epimorphism $\oplus_{i=1}^n k_{U_i} \twoheadrightarrow K$. Let K_1 be the image of $k_{U_1} \to K$ and let $K_2 = K/K_1$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & k_{U_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \oplus_{i=1}^n k_{U_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \oplus_{i=2}^n k_{U_i} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & K_1 \arrow[r] & K \arrow[r] & K_2 \arrow[r] & 0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are surjective, and the rows are exact. By the exactness of $\rho_!$ and $\otimes$ we obtain the exact sequence $0 \to \rho_! K_1 \otimes F \to \rho_! K \otimes F \to \rho_! K_2 \otimes F \to 0$ is exact. By the inductive hypothesis $\rho_! K_1 \otimes F$ and $\rho_! K_2 \otimes F$ are quasi-injective, then $\rho_! K \otimes F$ is quasi-injective. ☐

Proposition 1.6.6 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$, $G \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and let $K \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$. One has the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om(G, F) \otimes \rho_! K \simeq \mathcal{H}om(G, F \otimes \rho_! K)$.*

Proof. Both sides are left exact with respect to F. Hence we may assume that F is quasi-injective. Since quasi-injective sheaves are $\mathcal{H}om(G, \cdot)$-injective, both sides are exact with respect to K. Moreover as a consequence of Proposition 1.3.4 both sides commute with filtrant $\varinjlim$ with respect to K. We may reduce to the case $K = k_U$, with $U \in \mathrm{Op}_{sa}(X)$. Then the result follows from Proposition 1.6.4. ☐

2 Derived category

As usual, we denote $D(k_{X_{sa}})$ the derived category of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ and its full subcategory consisting of bounded (resp. bounded below, resp. bounded above) complexes is denoted by $D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$ (resp. $D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$, resp. $D^-(k_{X_{sa}})$).

2.1 The category $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}})$

As usual we denote by $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}})$ (resp. $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}})$) the full subcategory of $D^b(k_X)$ (resp. $D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$) consisting of objects with $\mathbb{R}$-constructible cohomology.

Recall that $\rho : X \to X_{sa}$ is the natural morphism of sites. It induces the functor $\rho_* : \mathrm{Mod}(k_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$.

Lemma 2.1.1 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. *Then* $R^j\rho_*F = 0$ *for each* $j \neq 0$.

Proof. The sheaf $R^j\rho_*F$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $V \to R^j\Gamma(V;F)$. We have to show that $R^j\Gamma(V;F) = 0$ for $j \neq 0$ on a family of generators of the topology of X_{sa}. This means that for each $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ and for each $j \neq 0$, there exists I finite and $\{V_i\}_{i\in I} \in \mathrm{Cov}(V_{sa})$ such that $R^j\Gamma(V_i; R\rho_*F) \simeq R^j\Gamma(V_i;F) = 0$.

We use the notation of [5]. There exists a locally finite stratification $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ of X consisting of subanalytic subsets such that for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $i \in I$ the sheaf $F|_{X_i}$ is locally constant. By the triangulation theorem there exist a simplicial complex (S,Δ) and a subanalytic homeomorphism $\psi : |S| \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ compatible with the stratification and such that V is a finite union of the images by ψ of open subsets $V(\sigma)$ of $|S|$, where $V(\sigma) = \bigcup_{\tau\in\Delta,\tau\supset\sigma}|\tau|$. By Proposition 8.1.4 of [5] we have $R^j\Gamma(\psi(V(\sigma));F) = 0$ for each σ and for each $j \neq 0$. The result follows because $V = \bigcup_{\psi(|\sigma|)\subset V}\psi(V(\sigma))$. □

Since $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves are injective with respect to the functor ρ_*, the following diagram of derived categories is quasi-commutative.

(2.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X) \arrow[r, shift left, "R\rho_*"] & D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}}) \arrow[l, shift left, "\rho^{-1}"] \\
D^b(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)) \arrow[u, "\wr"] \arrow[ur, "\rho_*"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 2.1.2 *One has the equivalence of categories*

$$D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X) \simeq D^b(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)) \simeq D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}}).$$

Proof. By dévissage, to prove the equivalence between $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X))$ and $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_{X_{sa}})$ it is enough to check that the functor ρ_* in (2.1) is fully faithful. We have $\rho^{-1}\circ\rho_* \simeq \mathrm{id}$ and the result follows.

The equivalence between $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X))$ and $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ was shown by Kashiwara in [4]. $\square$

2.2 Operations in the derived category

Let us study the operations in the derived category of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an analytic map. Since $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ has enough injectives, then the derived functors

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om &: D^-(k_{X_{sa}})^{op} \times D^+(k_{X_{sa}}) \to D^+(k_{X_{sa}}), \\ Rf_* &: D^+(k_{X_{sa}}) \to D^+(k_{Y_{sa}}), \\ Rf_{!!} &: D^+(k_{X_{sa}}) \to D^+(k_{Y_{sa}}), \end{aligned}$$

are well defined.

Proposition 2.2.1 *Let $f : X \to Y$ be an analytic map. Then*

(i) The functors Rf_* and $R\mathcal{H}om$ commute with $R\rho_*$.

(ii) The functors Rf_* and $Rf_{!!}$ commute with ρ^{-1}.

(iii) We have $R(g \circ f)_* \simeq Rg_* \circ Rf_*$ and $R(g \circ f)_{!!} \simeq Rg_{!!} \circ Rf_{!!}$.

(iv) The functor $R^k f_{!!} : \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})$ commutes with small filtrant inductive limits for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(v) If $F \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$ and f is proper on $\mathrm{supp}(F)$, then $Rf_{!!} \simeq Rf_*$.

Proof. (i) The functor ρ_* sends injective sheaves to injective sheaves, then Rf_* and $R\mathcal{H}om$ commute with $R\rho_*$.

(ii) Since ρ^{-1} has a left adjoint it sends injective sheaves to injective sheaves. Then Rf_* and $Rf_{!!}$ commute with ρ^{-1}.

(iii) The functor f_* (resp. $f_{!!}$) sends injective sheaves to injective (resp. quasi-injective) sheaves. Then $R(g \circ f)_* \simeq Rg_* \circ Rf_*$ and $R(g \circ f)_{!!} \simeq Rg_{!!} \circ Rf_{!!}$.

(iv) Quasi-injective objects of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ are stable by filtrant $\varinjlim$, and the functor $f_{!!}$ commutes with such limits. Then $R^k f_{!!}$ commutes with filtrant $\varinjlim$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(v) We can find a representative F' of F in $K^+(\mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}})$ with f proper on $\mathrm{supp}(F')$. Then the result follows from the non derived case. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.2 *Let* $F = \varinjlim_i F_i$ *with* $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and let* $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$*. One has* $R^k\mathcal{H}om(G,F) \simeq \varinjlim_i R^k\mathcal{H}om(G,F_i)$ *for each* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$*.*

Proof. There exists (see [8], Corollary 9.6.7) an inductive system of injective resolutions $I_i^\bullet$ of F_i. Then $\varinjlim_i I_i^\bullet$ is a complex of quasi-injective objects quasi-isomorphic to F. Each object of $(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)^{op}, \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}})$ is $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot,\cdot)$-acyclic. Proposition 1.3.4 implies the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om(G, \varinjlim_i I_i^\bullet) \simeq \varinjlim_i \mathcal{H}om(G, I_i^\bullet)$$

and the result follows. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.3 *Let* $F \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$*,* $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ *and let* $K \in D^+(k_X)$*. One has the isomorphism* $R\mathcal{H}om(G,F) \otimes \rho_! K \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(G, F \otimes \rho_! K)$*.*

Proof. Let $I^\bullet$ be a quasi-injective resolution of F. By Proposition 1.6.5 we have that $I^\bullet \otimes \rho_! K$ is a complex of quasi-injective objects. Each object of $(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)^{op}, \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}})$ is $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot,\cdot)$-acyclic. Hence we are reduced to prove the isomorphism $\mathcal{H}om(G, I^\bullet) \otimes \rho_! K \simeq \mathcal{H}om(G, I^\bullet \otimes \rho_! K)$. The result follows from Proposition 1.6.6. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.4 *Let* $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$*. Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *be quasi-injective. Then* F_U *is* $\Gamma(V,\cdot)$*-acyclic for each* $V \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$*.*

Proof. Since F_U has compact support, we may suppose that V is relatively compact. Let $S = X \setminus U$. Since F is quasi-injective and filtrant $\varinjlim$ are exact, the morphism $\Gamma(V;F) \to \varinjlim_{W \supset S \cap V} \Gamma(W;F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(V;F_S)$ is surjective. Consider the exact sequence $0 \to F_U \to F \to F_S \to 0$. We get the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma(V;F_U) \to \Gamma(V;F) \to \Gamma(V;F_S) \to 0.$$

By Proposition 1.5.13 F and F_S are quasi-injective, hence $\Gamma(V;\cdot)$-acyclic. This implies that F_U is $\Gamma(V;\cdot)$-acyclic. $\square$

Corollary 2.2.5 *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a real analytic map and let* $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$. *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *be quasi-injective. Then* F_U *is* $f_{!!}$*-acyclic.*

Proof. Since F_U has compact support, $Rf_{!!}F_U \simeq Rf_*F_U$. The result follows because F_U is $\Gamma(f^{-1}(V);\cdot)$-acyclic for each $V \in \mathrm{Op}(Y_{sa})$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2.6 *Let* F *be quasi-injective object of* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and let* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. *Then* $F \otimes \rho_* G$ *is* $f_{!!}$*-acyclic.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. Then G has a resolution

$$0 \to \oplus_{i_1 \in I_1} k_{U_{i_1}} \to \ldots \to \oplus_{i_n \in I_n} k_{U_{i_n}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \to 0.$$

Where I_j is finite and $U_{i_j} \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$ for each $i_j \in I_j$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let us argue by induction on the length n of the resolution.

If $n = 1$, then G is isomorphic to a finite sum $\oplus_i k_{U_i}$, with $U_i \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$, and the result follows from Corollary 2.2.5.

Let us show $n - 1 \Rightarrow n$. The sequence $0 \to \ker\varphi \to \oplus_{i_n \in I_n} k_{U_{i_n}} \to G \to 0$ is exact. The sheaf $\ker\varphi$ belongs to $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ and it has a resolution of length $n-1$. Applying $F \otimes \rho_*(\cdot)$ we get the exact sequence

$$0 \to F \otimes \rho_* \ker\varphi \to \oplus_{i_n \in I_n} F_{U_{i_n}} \to F \otimes \rho_* G \to 0.$$

By the induction hypothesis $F \otimes \rho_* \ker\varphi$ is $f_{!!}$-acyclic. Moreover $\oplus_{i_n \in I_n} F_{U_{i_n}}$ is $f_{!!}$-acyclic, then $F \otimes \rho_* G$ is $f_{!!}$-acyclic. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.7 *Let* F *be quasi-injective object of* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and let* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then* $F \otimes G$ *is* $f_{!!}$*-acyclic.*

Proof. Let $G \simeq \varinjlim\limits_i \rho_* G_i$ with $G_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ for each i. Since the functors $\otimes$ and $R^k f_{!!}$ commute with filtrant $\varinjlim$ we have

$$R^k f_{!!}(F \otimes \varinjlim_i \rho_* G_i) \simeq \varinjlim_i R^k f_{!!}(F \otimes \rho_* G_i) = 0$$

if $k \neq 0$ by Lemma 2.2.6. $\square$

Proposition 2.2.8 *Let $F \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$ and $G \in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Then*

$$Rf_{!!}F \otimes G \simeq Rf_{!!}(F \otimes f^{-1}G).$$

Proof. First assume that $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ is injective. By Proposition 2.2.7 $F \otimes f^{-1}G$ is $f_{!!}$-acyclic.

Now let $F \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$ and $G \in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Let F' be a complex of injective sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F. Then

$$Rf_{!!}F \otimes G \simeq f_{!!}F' \otimes G \simeq f_{!!}(F' \otimes f^{-1}G) \simeq Rf_{!!}(F \otimes f^{-1}G),$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.4.5. $\square$

Now let us consider a cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'_{sa} \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] & Y'_{sa} \arrow[d, "g"] \\
X_{sa} \arrow[r, "f"] & Y_{sa}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proposition 2.2.9 *Let $F \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then $g^{-1}Rf_{!!}F \simeq Rf'_{!!}g'^{-1}F$.*

Proof. We have an isomorphism $f'_{!!}g'^{-1} \simeq g^{-1}f_{!!}$, and $R(g^{-1}f_{!!}) \simeq g^{-1}Rf_{!!}$ since g^{-1} is exact. Then we obtain a morphism $g^{-1}Rf_{!!} \to Rf'_{!!}g'^{-1}$. It is enough to prove that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ we have $g^{-1}R^kf_{!!}F \xrightarrow{\sim} R^kf'_{!!}g'^{-1}F$. Since both sides commute with filtrant $\varinjlim$, we may assume $F = \rho_*G$ with $G \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. Moreover since $\mathrm{supp}(G)$ is compact, f' is proper on $\mathrm{supp}(g'^{-1}G)$. Then both sides commute with ρ_* and the result follows from the corresponding one for classical sheaves. $\square$

As in classical sheaf theory, the Künneth formula follows from the projection formula and the base change formula.

Proposition 2.2.10 *Consider a cartesian square*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X'_{sa} \arrow[r, "f'"] \arrow[d, "g'"] \arrow[dr, dashed, "\delta"] & Y'_{sa} \arrow[d, "g"] \\
X_{sa} \arrow[r, "f"] & Y_{sa}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\delta = fg' = gf'$. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$R\delta_{!!}(g'^{-1}F \otimes f'^{-1}G) \simeq Rf_{!!}F \otimes Rg_{!!}G$$

for $F \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$ *and* $G \in D^+(k_{Y'_{sa}})$.

Proof. Using the projection formula and the base change formula we deduce

$$Rf'_{!!}(g'^{-1}F \otimes f'^{-1}G) \simeq Rf'_{!!}g'^{-1}F \otimes G \simeq g^{-1}Rf_{!!}F \otimes G.$$

Using the projection formula once again we find

$$R\delta_{!!}(g'^{-1}F \otimes f'^{-1}G) \simeq Rg_{!!}Rf'_{!!}(g'^{-1}F \otimes f'^{-1}G) \simeq Rf_{!!}F \otimes Rg_{!!}G$$

and the result follows. □

Proposition 2.2.11 *Let* $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)$ *and let* $F \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$. *Then the natural morphism*

$$Rf_{!!}R\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}G, F) \to R\mathcal{H}om(G, Rf_{!!}F)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The morphism is obtained as in the non derived case. Let us show that it is an isomorphism. Let F' be a complex of injective sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_{!!}\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}G, F) &\simeq f_{!!}\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}G, F') \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}om(G, f_{!!}F') \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(G, Rf_{!!}F), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from Proposition 1.4.7. □

2.3 Vanishing theorems on $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$

In this Section we give some results on the vanishing of the cohomology of sheaves on a subanalytic site.

Definition 2.3.1 *The quasi-injective dimension of the category* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *is the smallest* $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ *such that for any* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \to F \to \mathrm{I}^0 \to \cdots \to I^n \to 0$$

with I^j *quasi-injective for* $0 \leq j \leq n$.

Proposition 2.3.2 *The category* $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ *has finite quasi-injective dimension.*

Proof. Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Then $F = \varinjlim\limits_{i} \rho_* F_i$, with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. There exists (see [8], Corollary 9.6.7) an inductive system of injective resolutions $I_i^\bullet$ of F_i. By Proposition 3.3.11 of [5], the category $\mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ has finite homological dimension. Then we may assume that $I_i^\bullet$ has length $N_0 < \infty$ for each i. Since F_i is ρ_*-injective for each i, $\rho_* I_i^\bullet$ is an injective resolution of $\rho_* F_i$ of length N_0. Taking the inductive limit we find that $\varinjlim\limits_{i} \rho_* I_i^\bullet$ is a resolution of F of length N_0, and $\varinjlim\limits_{i} \rho_* I_i^j \in \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ for each j. □

Corollary 2.3.3 *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a real analytic map, and let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$. *The functors* f_*, $f_{!!}$ *and* $\mathcal{H}om(F, \cdot)$ *have finite cohomological dimension.*

Proposition 2.3.4 *Let* $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ *and let* $G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. *There exists a finite* $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$R^j \mathcal{H}om(\rho_! F, G) = 0 \quad \textit{for } j > j_0.$$

Proof. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(U; R\mathcal{H}om(\rho_! F, G)) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_! F, R\Gamma_U G) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}_{k_X}(F, \rho^{-1} R\Gamma_U G). \end{aligned}$$

The functor $R\Gamma_U$ has finite cohomological dimension, and the homological dimension of the category $\mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ is finite. Hence we can find a finite $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R^j\Gamma(U; R\mathcal{H}om(\rho_! F, G))$ vanishes for $j > j_0$ and for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. This shows the result. □

Remark 2.3.5 *We have seen that the functor $\mathcal{H}om(F,\cdot)$ has finite cohomological dimension when F is $\mathbb{R}$-constructible and when $F=\rho_!G$ with $G\in\mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$. We do not know if the cohomological dimension is finite for any $F\in\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$. Indeed we do not know if the homological dimension of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ is finite or not.*

2.4 Duality

In the following we find a right adjoint to the functor $Rf_{!!}$, denoted by $f^!$, and we calculate it by decomposing f as the composite of a closed embedding and a submersion.

The subcategory $\mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}}$ of quasi-injective objects and the functor $f_{!!}$ have the following properties:

$$(2.2)\qquad \begin{cases} \text{(i) } \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}} \text{ is cogenerating,} \\ \text{(ii) } \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \text{ has finite quasi-injective dimension,} \\ \text{(iii) } \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}} \text{ is } f_{!!}\text{-injective,} \\ \text{(iv) } \mathcal{J}_{X_{sa}} \text{ is closed by small } \oplus, \\ \text{(v) } f_{!!} \text{ commutes with small } \oplus. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence of the Brown representability theorem (see [8], Corollary 14.3.7 for details) we find a right adjoint to the functor $Rf_{!!}$.

Theorem 2.4.1 *(i) The functor $Rf_{!!}:D(k_{X_{sa}})\to D(k_{Y_{sa}})$ admits a right adjoint. We denote by $f^!:D(k_{Y_{sa}})\to D(k_{X_{sa}})$ the adjoint functor.*
(ii) Let $G\in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Then $f^!G\in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$.

Remark 2.4.2 *As in classical sheaf theory, one can prove by adjunction the dual projection formula and the dual base change formula.*

Proposition 2.4.3 *The functor $f^!$ commutes with $R\rho_*$, and the functor $H^kf^!:\mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})\to\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ commutes with filtrant $\varinjlim$.*

Proof. Since $Rf_{!!}$ commutes with ρ^{-1}, then $f^!$ commutes with $R\rho_*$ by adjunction.

Let us show that $H^kf^!$ commutes with $\varinjlim$. Let $\{F_i\}_i$ be a filtrant inductive system in $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Remark that $\varinjlim\limits_i H^kf^!F_i$ (resp. $H^kf^!\varinjlim\limits_i F_i$)

is the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \to \varinjlim_i R^k\Gamma(U; f^!F_i)$ (resp. $U \to R^k\Gamma(U; f^!\varinjlim_i F_i)$), for $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$.

We will show the isomorphism $R^k\Gamma(U; f^!\varinjlim_i F_i) \xleftarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_i R^k\Gamma(U; f^!F_i)$ for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c_{sa}(X)$. By adjunction it is enough to prove the isomorphism $R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(Rf_!k_U, \varinjlim_i F_i) \simeq \varinjlim_i R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(Rf_!k_U, F_i)$.

Let $\mathcal{J}_{Y_{sa}}$ be the family of quasi-injective objects of $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Each object of $(\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)^{op}, \mathcal{J}_{Y_{sa}})$ is $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(\cdot,\cdot)$-acyclic. Moreover $\mathcal{J}_{Y_{sa}}$ is stable by filtrant inductive limits. There exists (see [8], Corollary 9.6.7) an inductive system of injective resolutions $I_i^\bullet$ of F_i. Then $\varinjlim_i I_i^\bullet$ is a quasi-injective resolution of $\varinjlim_i F_i$. We have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^+(k_{Y_{sa}})}(Rf_!k_U, \varinjlim_i I_i^\bullet) \simeq \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Hom}_{K^+(k_{Y_{sa}})}(Rf_!k_U, I_i^\bullet)$$

and the result follows. $\square$

Corollary 2.4.4 *Let $F \in D^b(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Then $f^!F \in D^b(k_{X_{sa}})$.*

Proof. We may reduce to the case $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Then $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_*F_i$ with $F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_Y)$ for each i. By Proposition 2.4.3 we have

$$H^kf^!F \simeq H^kf^!\varinjlim_i \rho_*F_i \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_*H^kf^!F_i,$$

and $H^kf^!F_i = 0$ if $k > j_0$ for a fixed $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each i. $\square$

Proposition 2.4.5 *Let $F \in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$ and let $G \in D^+(k_Y)$. Then one has the isomorphism $f^!(F \otimes \rho_!G) \simeq f^!F \otimes \rho_!f^{-1}G$.*

Proof. We have the chain of morphisms

$$Rf_{!!}(f^!F \otimes \rho_!f^{-1}G) \simeq Rf_{!!}f^!F \otimes \rho_!G \to F \otimes \rho_!G,$$

by adjunction we obtain the desired morphism. To prove that it is an isomorphism it is enough to show $R^k\Gamma(U; f^!(F \otimes \rho_! G)) \simeq R^k\Gamma(U; f^!F \otimes \rho_! f^{-1}G)$ for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ and each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
R^k\Gamma(U; f^!(F \otimes \rho_! G)) &\simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(Rf_{!!}k_U, F \otimes \rho_! G) \\
&\simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(k_Y, R\mathcal{H}om(Rf_{!!}k_U, F \otimes \rho_! G)) \\
&\simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(k_Y, R\mathcal{H}om(Rf_{!!}k_U, F) \otimes \rho_! G) \\
&\simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(k_Y, Rf_{!!}R\mathcal{H}om(k_U, f^!F) \otimes \rho_! G) \\
&\simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(k_Y, Rf_{!!}(R\mathcal{H}om(k_U, f^!F) \otimes f^{-1}\rho_! G)) \\
&\simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{Y_{sa}}}(k_Y, Rf_{!!}(R\mathcal{H}om(k_U, f^!F \otimes \rho_! f^{-1}G)) \\
&\simeq R^k\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(k_U, f^!F \otimes \rho_! f^{-1}G)).
\end{aligned}$$

Here the fourth and the last isomorphism follow from the fact that since k_U has compact support, then $R\mathcal{H}om(k_U, K)$ has compact support for any $K \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$ and $Rf_{!!}R\mathcal{H}om(k_U, K) \simeq Rf_*R\mathcal{H}om(k_U, K)$. □

Proposition 2.4.6 *Let* $F \in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$*, and let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a closed embedding. Then* $f^!F \simeq f^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(k_X, F)$ *and* $\mathrm{id} \xrightarrow{\sim} f^!Rf_{!!}$.

Proof. Since f is proper, then $Rf_* \simeq Rf_{!!}$. We have the isomorphisms $Rf_*f^!F \simeq Rf_*R\mathcal{H}om(k_X, f^!F) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(k_X, F)$. Since $f^{-1}Rf_*f^!F \simeq f^!F$, then $f^!F \simeq f^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(k_X, F)$.

Let $F' \in D^+(k_{X_{sa}})$. We have the isomorphisms

$$f^!Rf_*F' \simeq f^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(k_X, Rf_*F') \simeq f^{-1}Rf_*R\mathcal{H}om(k_X, F') \simeq f^{-1}Rf_*F',$$

and $f^{-1}Rf_*F' \simeq F'$ since f is a closed embedding. □

Recall that f is a topological submersion (of fiber dimension n) if locally on X, f is isomorphic to the projection $Y \times \mathbb{R}^n \to Y$.

Proposition 2.4.7 *Assume that* f *is a topological submersion. Then for* $F \in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$ *one has the isomorphism* $f^{-1}F \otimes f^!k_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} f^!F$.

Proof. We have the chain of morphisms

$$Rf_{!!}(f^{-1}F \otimes f^!k_Y) \simeq F \otimes Rf_{!!}f^!k_Y \to F \otimes k_Y \simeq F,$$

by adjunction we obtain the desired morphism.

Let us show that it is an isomorphism. We may reduce to the case $F \simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{Y_{sa}})$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(f^{-1}\varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i \otimes f^! \rho_* k_Y) &\simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* H^k(f^{-1}F_i \otimes f^! k_Y) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_i \rho_* H^k f^! F_i \\ &\simeq H^k f^! \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using these results we can calculate explicitly the functor $f^!$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be an analytic map. We decompose it as the composite of a closed embedding and a submersion. In fact

$$f : X \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} X \times Y \xrightarrow{p} Y$$

where p is the projection and j is the graph embedding $j(x) = (x, f(x))$. Let $F \in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$. Applying Propositions 2.4.6 and 2.4.7 we get

$$f^! F \simeq j^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om(k_{j(X)}, p^{-1}F \otimes p^! k_Y).$$

Corollary 2.4.8 *Assume that f is a topological submersion. Then:*

(i) the functor $f^!$ commutes with ρ^{-1},

(ii) the functor $Rf_{!!}$ commutes with $\rho_!$.

Proof. (i) One has the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-1}(f^{-1}F \otimes f^! \rho_* k_Y) &\simeq \rho^{-1} f^{-1} F \otimes \rho^{-1} f^! \rho_* k_Y \\ &\simeq f^{-1}\rho^{-1} F \otimes \rho^{-1} f^! \rho_* k_Y \\ &\simeq f^{-1}\rho^{-1} F \otimes \rho^{-1} \rho_* f^! k_Y \\ &\simeq f^{-1}\rho^{-1} F \otimes f^! k_Y. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from Proposition 2.4.7.

(ii) The result follows by adjunction. □

Proposition 2.4.9 *Assume that f is a topological submersion and moreover that $Rf_!f^!k_Y \simeq k_Y$. Then for $F \in D^+(k_{Y_{sa}})$ the morphism $Rf_*f^{-1}F \to F$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. First let us show that $Rf_{!!}f^!k_Y \simeq k_Y$. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_{!!}f^!\rho_*k_Y &\simeq Rf_{!!}\rho_*f^!k_Y \\ &\simeq Rf_{!!}\rho_!f^!k_Y \\ &\simeq \rho_!Rf_!f^!k_Y \\ &\simeq \rho_!k_Y \\ &\simeq \rho_*k_Y, \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows because $f^!k_Y$ is locally constant and the third from Corollary 2.4.8 (ii). It follows from Proposition 2.4.7 that $f^{-1}F \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(f^!k_Y, f^!F)$. Then we have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_*f^{-1}F &\simeq Rf_*R\mathcal{H}om(f^!k_Y, f^!F) \\ &\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(Rf_{!!}f^!k_Y, F) \\ &\simeq F. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Examples of applications

In this Section we give some example of subanalytic sheaves. Let X be a real analytic manifold, and let X_{sa} be the associated subanalytic site. We first introduce sheaves of $\mathcal{R}$-modules, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a sheaf of k-algebras on X_{sa}. Let $\mathcal{D}_X$ be the sheaf of finite order differential operators on X. We define the $\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X$-modules $\mathcal{O}_X^t$ and $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{w}}$ of tempered and Whitney holomorphic functions respectively. References are made to [8] for an exposition on sheaves of rings on a Grothendieck topology.

3.1 Modules over a $k_{X_{sa}}$-algebra

A sheaf of $k_{X_{sa}}$-algebras (or a $k_{X_{sa}}$-algebra, for short) is an object $\mathcal{R} \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ such that $\Gamma(U;\mathcal{R})$ is a k-algebra for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. The opposite $k_{X_{sa}}$-algebra $\mathcal{R}^{op}$ is defined by setting $\Gamma(U;\mathcal{R}^{op}) = \Gamma(U;\mathcal{R})^{op}$ for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$. A sheaf of (left) $\mathcal{R}$-modules is a sheaf F such that $\Gamma(U;F)$

has a structure of (left) $\Gamma(U;\mathcal{R})$-module for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $k_{X_{sa}}$-algebra and denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})$ the category of sheaves of (left) $\mathcal{R}$-modules. The category $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})$ is a Grothendieck category and the family $\{\mathcal{R}_U\}_{U\in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})}$ is a small system of generators. Moreover the forgetful functor $for : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ is exact.

In this Section we shall extend some results on $k_{X_{sa}}$-modules, by replacing $k_{X_{sa}}$ with $\mathcal{R}$. Since the formalism is similar to that we developed previously we shall not give proofs. The functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}} &: \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})^{op} \times \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}), \\ \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} &: \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}^{op}) \times \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \end{aligned}$$

are well defined. Let us summarize their properties:

- the functor $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}$ is left exact,
- the functor $\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}$ is right exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$.

Let X, Y be two real analytic manifolds, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of real analytic manifolds. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $k_{Y_{sa}}$-algebra. The functors f^{-1}, f_* and $f_{!!}$ induce functors

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1} &: \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(f^{-1}\mathcal{R}), \\ f_* &: \mathrm{Mod}(f^{-1}\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}), \\ f_{!!} &: \mathrm{Mod}(f^{-1}\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us summarize their properties:

- the functor f^{-1} is exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$ and $\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}$,
- the functor f_* is left exact and commutes with $\varprojlim$,
- (f^{-1}, f_*) is a pair of adjoint functors,
- the functor $f_{!!}$ is left exact and commutes with filtrant $\varinjlim$.

Now we consider the derived category of sheaves of $\mathcal{R}$-modules.

Definition 3.1.1 *An object $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})$ is flat if the functor $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}^{op}) \ni G \to G \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} F$ is exact.*

Small direct sums and filtrant inductive limits of flat $\mathcal{R}$-modules are flat. Since the generators of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})$ are flat, then the subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})$ consisting of flat modules is generating. Thanks to flat objects we can find a left derived functor $\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}}$ of the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}$.

Definition 3.1.2 *An object $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})$ is quasi-injective if the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\cdot, F)$ is exact in $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X)$ or, equivalently (see Theorem 8.7.2 of [8]) if for each $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$ with $V \subset U$ the restriction morphism $\Gamma(U; F) \to \Gamma(V; F)$ is surjective.*

Let X, Y be two real analytic manifolds, and let $f : X \to Y$ be a real analytic map. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a $k_{Y_{sa}}$-algebra. As in § 1.5 one can prove that quasi-injective objects are injective with respect to the functors f_* and $f_{!!}$. The functors Rf_* and $Rf_{!!}$ are well defined and projection formula, base change formula and Künneth formula remain valid for $\mathcal{R}$-modules. Moreover hypothesis (2.2) are satisfied and we have

Theorem 3.1.3 *The functor $Rf_{!!} : D^+(f^{-1}\mathcal{R}) \to D^+(\mathcal{R})$ admits a right adjoint. We denote by $f^! : D^+(\mathcal{R}) \to D^+(f^{-1}\mathcal{R})$ the adjoint functor.*

3.2 Sheaves of $\rho_!\mathcal{R}$-modules

We will consider the case where the ring is $\rho_!\mathcal{R}$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is a sheaf of k_X-algebras. We will also assume the following hypothesis:

$$\mathcal{R} \text{ has finite flat dimension.}$$

The functor $\rho_!$ induces an exact functor $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\rho_!\mathcal{R})$ which is left adjoint to $\rho^{-1} : \mathrm{Mod}(\rho_!\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R})$. We will still denote by $\rho_!$ that functor. The functor $\rho_* : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\rho_!\mathcal{R})$ is well defined too, in fact the morphism $\xi_F \in \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{E}nd(F))$ defines a morphism in $\mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_!\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{E}nd(\rho_*F))$. That follows from the chain of isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_!\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{E}nd(\rho_*F)) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_{X_{sa}}}(\rho_!\mathcal{R}, \rho_*\mathcal{E}nd(F)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(\rho^{-1}\rho_!\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{E}nd(F)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{E}nd(F)). \end{aligned}$$

We briefly summarize the properties of these functors:

- ρ^{-1} commutes with $\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}}$, f^{-1} and $Rf_{!!}$,
- $R\rho_*$ commutes with $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{R}}$ and Rf_*,

- $\rho_!$ commutes with $\otimes^L_{\mathcal{R}}$ and f^{-1}.

Finally we recall the following result (which has been proved in [7])

Proposition 3.2.1 *Denote by* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ *the presheaf* $U \to \Gamma(\overline{U};\mathcal{R})$*, where* $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$*. Suppose that* F *is a presheaf of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$*-modules and denote by* F^{++} *the sheaf associated to* F*. Then* $F^{++} \in \mathrm{Mod}(\rho_!\mathcal{R})$*.*

Proof. Let $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$, and let $r \in \Gamma(\overline{U};\mathcal{R})$. Then r defines a morphism $\Gamma(\overline{V};\mathcal{R}) \otimes \Gamma(V;F) \to \Gamma(V;F)$ for each subanalytic $V \subset U$, hence un endomorphism of $(F^{++})|_{U_{X_{sa}}} \simeq (F|_{U_{X_{sa}}})^{++}$. This morphism defines a morphism of sheaves $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}} \to \mathcal{E}nd(F^{++})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{++} \simeq \rho_!\mathcal{R}$ by Proposition 1.1.13. Then $F^{++} \in \mathrm{Mod}(\rho_!\mathcal{R})$. □

3.3 Some examples of subanalytic sheaves

From now on, the base field is $\mathbb{C}$. Let M be a real analytic manifold. One denotes by $\mathcal{C}^\infty_M$ and $\mathcal{D}b_M$ the sheaves of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions and Schwartz's distributions respectively, and by $\mathcal{D}_M$ the sheaf of finite order differential operators with analytic coefficients. As usual, given a sheaf F on M, we set $D'F = R\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathbb{C}_M)$.

In [4] the author defined the functor

$$(\cdot,\mathcal{D}b_M) : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M)^{op} \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_M)$$

in the following way: let U be a subanalytic open subset of M and $Z = M\backslash U$. Then the sheaf $(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{D}b_M)$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_Z\mathcal{D}b_M \to \mathcal{D}b_M \to (\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{D}b_M) \to 0.$$

This functor is exact and extends as a functor in the derived category, from $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M)$ to $D^b(\mathcal{D}_M)$. Moreover the sheaf $(F,\mathcal{D}b_M)$ is soft for any $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaf F.

Definition 3.3.1 *One denotes by* $\mathcal{D}b^t_M$ *the presheaf of tempered distributions on* M_{sa} *defined as follows:*

$$U \mapsto \Gamma(M;\mathcal{D}b_M)/\Gamma_{M\backslash U}(M;\mathcal{D}b_M).$$

As a consequence of the Łojasievicz's inequalities [11], for $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}(M_{sa})$ the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{D}b^t_M(U \cup V) \to \mathcal{D}b^t_M(U) \oplus \mathcal{D}b^t_M(V) \to \mathcal{D}b^t_M(U \cap V) \to 0$$

is exact. Then $\mathcal{D}b^t_M$ is a sheaf on M_{sa}. Moreover it follows by definition that $\mathcal{D}b^t_M$ is quasi-injective.

Definition 3.3.2 *Let Z be a closed subset of M. We denote by $\mathcal{I}^\infty_{M,Z}$ the sheaf of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on M vanishing up to infinite order on Z.*

Definition 3.3.3 *A Whitney function on a closed subset Z of M is an indexed family $F = (F^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^n}$ consisting of continuous functions on Z such that $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|k| \leq m$, $\forall x \in Z$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood U of x such that $\forall y, z \in U \cap Z$*

$$\left| F^k(z) - \sum_{|j+k| \leq m} \frac{(z-y)^j}{j!} F^{j+k}(y) \right| \leq \varepsilon d(y,z)^{m-|k|}.$$

We denote by $W^\infty_{M,Z}$ the space of Whitney $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on Z. We denote by $\mathcal{W}^\infty_{M,Z}$ the sheaf $U \mapsto W^\infty_{U,U\cap Z}$.

In [6] the authors defined the functor

$$\cdot \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_M)$$

in the following way: let U be a subanalytic open subset of M and $Z = M \backslash U$. Then $\mathbb{C}_U \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M = \mathcal{I}^\infty_{M,Z}$, and $\mathbb{C}_Z \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M = \mathcal{W}^\infty_{M,Z}$. This functor is exact and extends as a functor in the derived category, from $D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M)$ to $D^b(\mathcal{D}_M)$. Moreover the sheaf $F \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M$ is soft for any $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaf F.

Definition 3.3.4 *One denotes by $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M$ the presheaf of Whitney $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions on M_{sa} defined as follows:*

$$U \mapsto \Gamma(M; H^0 D'\mathbb{C}_U \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M).$$

As a consequence of a result of [12], for $U, V \in \mathrm{Op}(M_{sa})$ the sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M(U \cup V) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M(U) \oplus \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M(V) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M(U \cap V)$$

is exact. Then $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M$ is a sheaf on M_{sa}.

Let us consider a locally cohomologically trivial (l.c.t.) subanalytic open subset, i.e. $U \in \mathrm{Op}(M_{sa})$ satisfying $D'\mathbb{C}_U \simeq \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}}$ and $D'\mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \simeq \mathbb{C}_U$. Thanks to the triangulation theorem one can prove that l.c.t. open subanalytic subsets form a basis for the topology of M_{sa}, and given a l.c.t. $U \in \mathrm{Op}(M_{sa})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(U;\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M) &= \Gamma(M;H^0D'\mathbb{C}_U \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty)\\ &\simeq \Gamma(M;\mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty)\\ &= W^\infty_{M,\overline{U}}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover $R\Gamma(U;\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M)$ is concentrated in degree zero since $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M$ is soft.

Remark that $\Gamma(U;\mathcal{D}b^t_M)$ and $\Gamma(U,\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M)$ are $\Gamma(\overline{U};\mathcal{D}_M)$-modules for each $U \in \mathrm{Op}(M_{sa})$, hence applying Proposition 3.2.1 the sheaves $\mathcal{D}b^t_M$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M$ belong to $\mathrm{Mod}(\rho_!\mathcal{D}_M)$.

We have the following result

Proposition 3.3.5 *For each $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M)$ one has the isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{D}b^t_M) &\simeq (F,\mathcal{D}b_M),\\ \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M) &\simeq D'F \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may reduce to the case $F = k_U$ with $U \in \mathrm{Op}^c(M_{sa})$. Let $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(M_{sa})$.

By definition of we have $\Gamma(V;(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{D}b_M)) \simeq \Gamma(U \cap V;\mathcal{D}b^t_V)$. Let us consider a subanalytic $W \subset\subset V$. The natural morphism $\Gamma(U \cap V;\mathcal{D}b^t_V) \to \Gamma(U \cap W;\mathcal{D}b^t_M)$ defines the morphism

$$\varphi : \Gamma(U \cap V;\mathcal{D}b^t_V) \to \varprojlim_{W \subset\subset V} \Gamma(U \cap W;\mathcal{D}b^t_M) \simeq \Gamma(V;\rho^{-1}\Gamma_U\mathcal{D}b^t_M).$$

Since the family $\{W \in \mathrm{Op}^c(M_{sa});\ W \subset\subset V\}$ is a covering of V and $(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{D}b_M)$ is a sheaf φ is an isomorphism.

To prove the second isomorphism we shall first prove the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M) \simeq H^0D'F \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M \tag{3.1}$$

for $F \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M)$. We may reduce to the case $F = k_U$ with U l.c.t. and subanalytic. Let $V \in \mathrm{Op}^c(M_{sa})$ such that V and $U \cap V$ are l.c.t. and let us consider the family $\mathcal{T} = \{W \in \mathrm{Op}^c(M_{sa})$ l.c.t.; $W \subset\subset V$, $W \cap U$ l.c.t.$\}$. The natural morphism $\psi : \Gamma(V; \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M) \simeq W^\infty_{V, V\cap\overline{U}} \to W^\infty_{M,\overline{U\cap W}} \simeq \Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U\cap W}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M)$ defines the morphism

$$\psi : \Gamma(V; \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M) \to \varprojlim_{W \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{\overline{U\cap W}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M) \simeq \Gamma(V; \rho^{-1}\Gamma_U \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M),$$

where the second isomorphism follows since the family $\mathcal{T}$ is cofinal in $\{W \in \mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa});\ W \subset\subset V\}$. Since the family $\mathcal{T}$ is a covering of V and $\mathbb{C}_{\overline{U}} \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M$ is a sheaf ψ is an isomorphism. Hence we get the desired isomorphism.

Now let $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_M)$. We have the chain of morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} D'F \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M &\simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{F' \to F}\text{”} \mathcal{H}om(F', \mathbb{C}_M) \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C}^\infty_M \\ &\simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{F' \to F}\text{”} \rho^{-1}\mathcal{H}om(F', \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M) \\ &\to \text{“}\varinjlim_{F' \to F}\text{”} \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F', \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M) \\ &\simeq \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M), \end{aligned}$$

where $F' \to F$ ranges to the family of qis. By Theorem 2.1.2 we may suppose $F' \in K^b(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(k_X))$ and then the first isomorphism follows from (3.1). We have $\text{“}\varinjlim_{F' \to F}\text{”}\mathcal{H}om(F', \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_M)$ and the result follows since ρ^{-1} is exact. □

Now let X be a complex manifold, $X_\mathbb{R}$ the underlying real analytic manifold and $\overline{X}$ the complex conjugate manifold. One denotes by $\mathcal{O}^t_X$ and $\mathcal{O}^\mathrm{w}_X$ the sheaves of tempered and Whitney holomorphic functions respectively which are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^t_X &:= R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X}(\rho_!\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, \mathcal{D}b^t_{X_\mathbb{R}}) \\ \mathcal{O}^\mathrm{w}_X &:= R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X}(\rho_!\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, \mathcal{C}^{\infty,\mathrm{w}}_{X_\mathbb{R}}). \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\mathcal{O}^t_X$ and $\mathcal{O}^\mathrm{w}_X$ belong to $D^b(\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X)$. The relation with the functors of temperate and formal cohomology are given by the following result

Proposition 3.3.6 *For each $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}\text{-c}}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ one has the isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}^t_X) &\simeq (F, \mathcal{O}_X), \\ \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}^\mathrm{w}_X) &\simeq D'F \overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_X. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{O}^t_X) &\simeq \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F,R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X}(\rho_!\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}},\mathcal{D}b^t_{X_\mathbb{R}}))\\
&\simeq \rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{\rho_!\mathcal{D}_X}(\rho_!\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}},R\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{D}b^t_{X_\mathbb{R}}))\\
&\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}},\rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{D}b^t_{X_\mathbb{R}}))\\
&\simeq R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}},(F,\mathcal{D}b^t_{X_\mathbb{R}}))\\
&\simeq (F,\mathcal{O}_X).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of $\rho^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{w}}_X)\simeq D'F\overset{\mathrm{w}}{\otimes}\mathcal{O}_X$ is similar. □

A Appendix

A.1 Review on subanalytic sets

We recall briefly some properties of subanalytic subsets. Reference are made to [1] and [10]. Let X be a real analytic manifold.

Definition A.1.1 *Let A be a subset of X.*

(i) A is said to be semi-analytic if it is locally analytic, i.e. each $x\in A$ has a neighborhood U such that $X\cap U=\cup_{i\in I}\cap_{j\in J}X_{ij}$, where I,J are finite sets and either $X_{ij}=\{y\in U_x;\, f_{ij}>0\}$ or $X_{ij}=\{y\in U_x;\, f_{ij}=0\}$ for some analytic function f_{ij}.

(ii) A is said to be subanalytic if it is locally a projection of a relatively compact semi-analytic subset, i.e. each $x\in A$ has a neighborhood U such that there exists a real analytic manifold Y and a relatively compact semi-analytic subset $A'\subset X\times Y$ satisfying $X\cap U=\pi(A')$, where $\pi: X\times Y\to X$ denotes the projection.

(iii) Let Y be a real analytic manifold. A continuous map $f: X\to Y$ is subanalytic if its graph is subanalytic in $X\times Y$.

Let us recall some result on subanalytic subsets.

Proposition A.1.2 *Let A,B be subanalytic subsets of X. Then $A\cup B$, $A\cap B$, $\overline{A}$, ∂A and $A\setminus B$ are subanalytic.*

Proposition A.1.3 *Let A be a subanalytic subsets of X. Then the connected components of A are locally finite.*

Proposition A.1.4 *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a subanalytic map. Let A be a relatively compact subanalytic subset of X. Then $f(A)$ is subanalytic.*

Definition A.1.5 *A simplicial complex (K, Δ) is the data consisting of a set K and a set Δ of subsets of K satisfying the following axioms:*

S1 any $\sigma \in \Delta$ is a finite and non-empty subset of K,

S2 if τ is a non-empty subset of an element σ of Δ, then τ belongs to Δ,

S3 for any $p \in K$, $\{p\}$ belongs to Δ,

S4 for any $p \in K$, the set $\{\sigma \in \Delta; p \in \sigma\}$ is finite.

If (K, Δ) is a simplicial complex, an element of K is called a vertex. Let $\mathbb{R}^K$ be the set of maps from K to $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the product topology. To $\sigma \in \Delta$ one associate $|\sigma| \subset \mathbb{R}^K$ as follows:

$$|\sigma| = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^K;\ x(p) = 0 \text{ for } p \notin \sigma,\ x(p) > 0 \text{ for } p \in \sigma \text{ and } \sum_p x(p) = 1 \right\}.$$

As usual we set:

$$|K| = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta} |\sigma|,$$

$$U(\sigma) = \bigcup_{\tau \in \Delta, \tau \supset \sigma} |\tau|,$$

and for $x \in |K|$:

$$U(x) = U(\sigma(x)),$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is the unique simplex such that $x \in |\sigma|$.

Theorem A.1.6 *Let $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ be a locally finite partition of X consisting of subanalytic subsets. Then there exists a simplicial complex (K, Δ) and a subanalytic homeomorphism $\psi : |K| \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ such that*

(i) for any $\sigma \in \Delta$, $\psi(|\sigma|)$ is a subanalytic submanifold of X,

(ii) for any $\sigma \in \Delta$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $\psi(|\sigma|) \subset X_i$.

A.2 Sheaves on Grothendieck topologies

We recall the definitions of a Grothendieck topology. We will not treat the most general case, for which we refer to [8]. We will follow the presentation of [14] and [7].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category admitting finite products and fiber products, and given $U \in \mathcal{C}$, denote by $\mathcal{C}_U$ the category of arrows $V \to U$. Given a morphism $V \to U$ and $S \subset \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_U)$, one denotes by $V \times_U S \subset \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_V)$ the subset defined by $\{V \times_U W \to V;\ W \in S\}$.

Definition A.2.1 *If, $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_U)$, one says that S_1 is a refinement of S_2 ($S_1 \preceq S_2$ for short) if any $V_1 \to U$ in S_1 factorizes as $V_1 \to V_2 \to U$ with $V_2 \to U \in S_2$.*

Definition A.2.2 *A Grothendieck topology on $\mathcal{C}$ associates to each $U \in \mathcal{C}$ a family $\mathrm{Cov}(U) \subset \mathrm{Ob}(C_U)$ satisfying the following axioms:*

GT1 $\{U \overset{id}{\to} U\} \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$,

GT2 if $\mathrm{Cov}(U) \ni S_1 \preceq S_2 \subset \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_U)$, *then* $S_2 \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$,

GT3 if $S \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$, *then for each* $V \to U$, $V \times_U S \in \mathrm{Cov}(V)$,

GT4 if $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_U)$, $S_1 \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$ *and* $V \times_U S_2 \in \mathrm{Cov}(V)$, *then* $S_2 \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$.

An object $S \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$ is called a covering of U.

Definition A.2.3 *A site X is a category $\mathcal{C}_X$ endowed with a Grothendieck topology.*

Let $\mathcal{C}_X$ and $\mathcal{C}_Y$ be two categories admitting finite products and fiber products. A functor of sites $f : X \to Y$ is a functor $f^t : \mathcal{C}_Y \to C_X$ which commutes with fiber products and such that if $U \in \mathcal{C}_Y$ and $S \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$, then $f^t(S) \in \mathrm{Cov}(f^t(U))$.

Now let k be a field.

Definition A.2.4 *Let X be a site. A presheaf of k-modules on X is a functor $\mathcal{C}_X^{op} \to \mathrm{Mod}(k)$.*

One denotes by $\mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$ the abelian category of presheaves of k-modules on X. Let $F \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$, let $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and consider $V \to U \in \mathcal{C}_U$. The restriction morphism $F(U) \to F(V)$ is denoted by $s \mapsto s|_U$.

Let F be a presheaf of k-modules on X and let $S \subset \mathrm{Ob}(\mathcal{C}_U)$. One defines

$$F(S) = \ker\Big(\prod_{V \in S} F(V) \rightrightarrows \prod_{V', V'' \in S} F(V' \times_U V'')\Big)$$

Definition A.2.5 *A presheaf F of k-modules on X is a separated presheaf (resp. a sheaf) if for each $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and each $S \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$ the morphism $F(U) \to F(S)$ is a monomorphism (resp. an isomorphism).*

One denotes by $\mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ the category of sheaves of k-modules on X. We set for short Hom_{k_X} instead of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}(k_X)}$.

We recall the construction of a sheaf associated to a presheaf. The relation "$\preceq$" defines a preorder on $\mathrm{Cov}(U)$, $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$. Let $F \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$, one defines the functor $(\cdot)^+ : \mathrm{Psh}(k_X) \to \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$ in the following way. For each $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$

$$F^+(U) = \varinjlim_{S \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)} F(S)$$

Theorem A.2.6 *(i) The functor $(\cdot)^+ : \mathrm{Psh}(k_X) \to \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$ is left exact,*

(ii) if $F \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$, then F^+ is separated,

(iii) if $F \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$ is separated, then $F^+ \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$,

(v) the functor $(\cdot)^{++} : \mathrm{Psh}(k_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ is exact,

(iv) let $F \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$ and $G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$, one has the adjunction formula:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Psh}(k_X)}(F, \iota G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(F^{++}, G),$$

where ι denotes the embedding functor.

Let $F \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$, the sheaf F^{++} is called the sheaf associated to F.

Proposition A.2.7 *Let $F, G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$. A morphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{k_X}(F, G)$ is an epimorphism if and only if for each $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ there exists $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \in \mathrm{Cov}(U)$ such that for each $s \in G(U)$ there exists $t_i \in F(U_i)$ such that $\varphi(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$ for each i.*

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of sites. Let $F \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)$ and $G \in \mathrm{Psh}(k_Y)$. One defines the functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{(A.1)} \qquad & f_* : \mathrm{Psh}(k_X) &\to \mathrm{Psh}(k_Y)\\
&\text{(A.2)} \qquad & f^{\leftarrow} : \mathrm{Psh}(k_Y) &\to \mathrm{Psh}(k_X)
\end{aligned}
$$

in the following way: let $U \in \mathcal{C}_X$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}_Y$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_*F(V) &= F(f^t(V))\\
f^{\leftarrow}F(U) &= \varinjlim_{U \to f^t(W)} G(W),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W \in \mathcal{C}_Y$.

Definition A.2.8 *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a functor of sites*

(i) the functor of direct image $f_* : \mathrm{Mod}(k_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_Y)$ is the functor induced by (A.1),

(ii) the functor of inverse image $f^{-1} : \mathrm{Mod}(k_Y) \to \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$ is defined by $f^{-1} = (f^{\leftarrow}(\cdot))^{++}$.

Proposition A.2.9 *(i) The functor f_* is left exact and commutes with $\varprojlim$,*

(ii) the functor f^{-1} is exact and commutes with $\varinjlim$,

(iii) (f^{-1}, f_*) is a pair of adjoint functors.

Definition A.2.10 *Let X be a site and let $F, G \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$.*

(i) One denotes by $\mathcal{H}om(F, G)$ the sheaf $U \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{k_U}(F|_U, G|_U)$,

(ii) one denotes by $F \otimes G$ the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto F(U) \otimes G(U)$.

Proposition A.2.11 *Let $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$, $G, G' \in \mathrm{Mod}(k_Y)$.*

(i) $\mathcal{H}om_{k_Y}(G, f_*F) \simeq f_*\mathcal{H}om_{k_X}(f^{-1}G, F)$,

(ii) $f^{-1}(G \otimes G') \simeq f^{-1}G \otimes f^{-1}G'$.

References

[1] E. Bierstorne, D. Milmann, Semianalytic and subanalytic sets, Publ. I.H.E.S. **67**, (1988) pp. 5-42.

[2] S. I. Gelfand, Yu. I. Manin, Methods of homological algebra, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996).

[3] R. Godement, Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux, Hermann, Paris (1958).

[4] M. Kashiwara, The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **20**, (1984) pp. 319-365.

[5] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Sheaves on manifolds, Grunderlen der Math. **292** Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990).

[6] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves, Mémoires Soc. Math. France **64**, (1996).

[7] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Ind-sheaves, Astérisque **271** (2001).

[8] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Categories and sheaves, Grunderlen der Math. **332**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2005).

[9] B. Keller; Derived categories and their uses; Handbook of algebra vol. **1** pp. 671-701 North Holland, Amsterdam (1996).

[10] S. Łojaciewicz, Sur la géométrie semi- et sous-analytique, Ann. Inst. Fourier **43**, (1993) pp. 1575-1595.

[11] S. Łojaciewicz, Sur le problème de la division, Studia Mathematica **8**, (1959) pp. 87-136.

[12] B. Malgrange, Ideals of differentiable functions, Tata Institute, Oxford University Press (1967).

[13] L. Prelli, Sheaves on subanalytic sites, Phd Thesis, Universities of Padova and Paris 6 (2006).

[14] G. Tamme, Introduction to étale cohomology, Universitext Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1994).

[15] J. L. Verdier, Catégories dérivées, état 0, SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, Lecture Notes in Math. **569** Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1977).

[16] SGA4: Sém. Géom. Algébrique du Bois-Marie by M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J. L. Verdier, Lecture Notes in Math. **269** Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1972).